NeuroRegulation

Proceedings of the 2021 ISNR Annual Conference (Virtual): Keynote and Plenary Presentations

Selected Abstracts of Conference Keynote and Plenary Presentations at the 2021 International Society for Neurofeedback and Research (ISNR) 29th Annual Conference, Miami, Florida, USA

Citation: International Society for Neurofeedback and Research. (2021). Proceedings of the 2021 ISNR Annual Conference (Virtual): Keynote and Plenary Sessions. *NeuroRegulation, 8*(4), 198–219. https://doi.org/10.15540/nr.8.4.198

KEYNOTE PRESENTATIONS

Music-Based Interventions for Cognitive and Brain Health

Psyche Loui
Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Music is an art form that is found in every culture and at every stage in life. While there is much anecdotal evidence supporting the therapeutic effects of making and listening to music, the science of music for cognitive and brain health is still in its infancy. I will describe recent studies from my lab on music and the brain, especially focusing on music-based interventions for reducing stress and loneliness and for improving working memory. Results point to the role of the brain's dopaminergic reward system in mediating the beneficial effects of music. I will conclude with some evidence-based recommendations for adopting music as a lifestyle factor to stay cognitively and neurologically healthy in old age. Music therapy is an evidence-based practice, but the needs and constraints of various stakeholders pose challenges towards providing the highest standards of evidence for each clinical application. First, what is the best path from clinical need to multisite, widely adopted intervention for a given disease or disorder? Secondly, how can we inform policy makers that what we do matters for public health––what evidence do we have, and what evidence do we need? I will review the multiple forms of evidence for music-based interventions in the context of neurological disorders, from large-scale randomized controlled trials (RCT) to smaller-scale experimental studies, and make the case that evidence at multiple levels continues to be necessary for informing the selection of active ingredients of interest in effective musical interventions. I will review some of the existing literature on music-based interventions for neurodegenerative disorders, with particular focus on neural structures and networks that are targeted by specific therapies for disorders including Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and aphasia. This is followed by a focused discussion of principles that are gleaned from studies in cognitive and clinical neuroscience, which may inform the active ingredients of music-based interventions. Therapies that are driven by a deeper understanding of the musical elements that target specific disease mechanisms are more likely to succeed and to increase the chances of widespread adoption. I will conclude with some recommendations for future research.

References

Loui, P. (2020). Neuroscientific insights for improved outcomes in music-based interventions. *Music & Science, 3*. https://doi.org/10.1177/2059204320965065

Functional Neuromarkers for Psychiatry and Neurology: Applications for Diagnosis and Treatment

Yury Kropotov
N.P. Bechtereva Institute of the Human Brain of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Saint Petersburg, Russia

The paper describes a recently emerged Human Brain Index (HBI) methodology of extracting functional neuromarkers from spontaneous resting-state EEG, from multichannel event-related potentials (ERPs; by definition, ERPs are scalp recorded voltage fluctuations that are time-locked to an event, sensory or motor) and application of this methodology in clinical practice. The methodology includes methods of independent component analysis (ICA) for artifact correcting, methods of blind sources separation (ICA, a joint diagonalization of covariance matrixes, PARAFAC, etc.) for extracting latent (hidden) components from resting-state EEG and from group event-related potentials, methods for constructing normative (a thousand of healthy subjects) and patient (thousands of patients with psychiatric and neurological conditions) databases, methods for comparing the extracted individual parameters with the normative data, as well methods for pre–post comparison. Functional association of the latent components in the cued go/no-go task with operations of cognitive control such as working memory, context updating, conflict detection and monitoring, and action inhibition are discussed. In addition, the functional meaning of the common ERP waves (N1, N170, P3a, P3b, N2 NOGO, P3 NOGO), their heterogeneity, and age dynamics is reviewed. The high level of specificity and sensitivity for defining dysfunctions in ADHD, schizophrenia, ASD, and OCD is described. The paper also presents the ways of application of the methodology for predicting clinical outcome in response to pharmacological medication (ADHD medication by Ritalin as an example), for constructing protocols of neurofeedback, transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS), and transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) in clinical population. A review of the recent studies is presented. The author will share his 15 years of experience (including hardware and software requirements, educational courses, supervision, etc.) of applying the HBI methodology in clinical practice. An example of a report based on HBI methodology with analysis of spontaneous EEG, event-related potentials, and recommendations for neurotherapy will be given to attendees.

References

Kropotov, J. D. (2009). *Quantitative EEG, event-related potentials and neurotherapy*. London, UK: Elsevier Academic Press.

Kropotov, J. D., & Etlinger, S. C. (1999). Selection of actions in the basal ganglia-thalamocortical circuits: Review and model. *International Journal of Psychophysiology, 31*(3), 197–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8760(98)00051-8

Kropotov, J. D., & Ponomarev, V. A. (2009). Decomposing N2 NOGO wave of event-related potentials into independent components. *NeuroReport, 20*, 1592–1596.

Kropotov, J. D., & Ponomarev, V. A. (2015). Differentiation of neuronal operations in latent components of event-related potentials in delayed match-to-sample tasks. *Psychophysiology, 52*(6), 826–838. https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.12410

Kropotov, J. D., Ponomarev, V. A., Pronina, M., & Jäncke, L. (2017). Functional indexes of reactive cognitive control: ERPs in cued go/no-go tasks. *Psychophysiology, 54*(12), 1899–1915. https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.12960

Kropotov, J., Ponomarev, V., Tereshchenko, E. P., Müller, A., & Jäncke, L. (2016). Effect of aging on ERP components of cognitive control. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience, 8*, 69. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2016.00069

Kropotov, J. D., Pronina, M. V., Ponomarev, V. A., Poliakov, Y. I., Plotnikova, I. V., & Mueller, A. (2019). Latent ERP components of cognitive dysfunctions in ADHD and schizophrenia. *Clinical Neurophysiology, 130*(4), 445–453. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2019.01.015

Müller, A., Vetsch, S., Pershin, I., Candrian, G., Baschera, G.-M., Kropotov, J. D., Kasper, J., Rehim, H. A., & Eich, D. (2020). EEG/ERP-based biomarker/neuroalgorithms in adults with ADHD: Development, reliability, and application in clinical practice. *The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry, 21*(3), 172–182. https://doi.org/10.1080/15622975.2019.1605198

Ogrim, G., & Kropotov, J. D. (2020). Event related potentials (ERPs) and other EEG based methods for extracting biomarkers of brain dysfunction: Examples from pediatric attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *JoVE* (*Journal of Visualized Experiments), 12*(157). https://doi.org/10.3791/60710

Ogrim, G., & Kropotov, J. D. (2019). Predicting clinical gains and side effects of stimulant medication in pediatric attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder by combining measures from qEEG and ERPs in a cued go/nogo task. *Clinical EEG and Neuroscience, 50*(1), 34–43. https://doi.org/10.1177/1550059418782328

Neurofeedback and Body Psychotherapy

Elya Steinberg
London School for Biodynamic Psychotherapy, London, England

This presentation will focus on a comprehensive treatment approach of neurofeedback and body psychotherapy (NFB) with individuals who experienced primarily transgenerational trauma of third and fourth generation of Holocaust survivors by review of case studies and exploration of the framework of NFB.

What is Body Psychotherapy (BP)? BP is a field in psychology and psychotherapy that evolved over the last 100 years. Progress in neuroscience, medicine, psychology, and trauma work created waves of insight which are now further underpinning the empirical understanding of BP, as an integrated approach that brings mind, emotion, body, and spirit into deeper connection and reawakening individual well-being. Biodynamic BP (BBP), a modality of BP, is a humanistic approach that supports the processes of natural movement toward health (salutogenesis) by using body awareness, emotional expression, verbal understanding, and attuned touch. It involves a dynamic assessment process that can provide a framework to integrate neurofeedback (NF) into the psychotherapeutic process.

Transgenerational trauma in descendants of Holocaust survivors. The Holocaust and its aftermath still have a fundamental impact on the mind, body, and soul of many descendants of Holocaust survivors. More than half a century ago the most unthinkable and unimaginable horror happened, and the descendants, generations of Holocaust survivors, are left to deal with one of the most devastating, brutal, and dehumanizing experiences in human history. We are confronted by a complex traumatic phenomenon that has multiple facets, including national, political, sociological, and relational, as well as psychological and biological effects which became part of descendants' lives and of the embodied psyche. The shadow of the Holocaust impacts the development of self-identities, the deep sense of selves and the capacity to express affective states. Normal sensations like pain and pleasure as well as emotions such as anger, playfulness, grief, and love were suppressed and led to the creation of a relational crypt that contains traumatic experiences.

Implementation of NFB in the treatment process. During BBP sessions we explore past traumatic responses at different developmental levels, as well as the treatment implications of these findings. Traumatic memories are often dissociated and may be inaccessible to verbal recall or processing. Therefore, one of BBP working hypotheses is the essential need for emotional and physiological self-regulation at a subcortical level outside of awareness.

This key hypothesis enables integration of NF with BBP enhancing the psychotherapeutic process. For example, in a BBP session, I may support integration of sensory input with motoric output to enable effective movement in perceived life-threatening situations or find an internal framework which enables self- regulation of hyperarousal state on a bodily level. In both situations, when the individual can be in hyperarousal or hypoarousal states, I found it useful to integrate NF training into the BBP session; that is, NFB.

Takeaway message. BP interventions led by DA could easily be integrated into NF sessions. The use of NFB can provide multiple benefits, including enhancing self-organization and self-regulation. NFB can enhance the capacity for interoceptive awareness and facilitate processing in individuals who suffer transgenerational trauma.

References

Fotopoulou, A., & Tsakiris, M. (2017). Mentalizing homeostasis: The social origins of interoceptive inference. *Neuropsychoanalysis, 19*(1), 3–28. https://doi.org/10.1080/15294145.2017.1294031

Heller, M. C. (2012). *Body psychotherapy: History, concepts, and methods.* W. W. Norton & Company.

Hertenstein, M. J., Keltner, D., App, B., Bulleit, B. A., & Jaskolka, A. R. (2006). Touch communicates distinct emotions. *Emotion, 6*(3), 528–533. https://doi.org/10.1037/1528-3542.6.3.528

Krahé, C., Paloyelis, Y., Condon, H., Jenkinson, P. M., Williams, S. C., & Fotopoulou, A. (2015). Attachment style moderates partner presence effects on pain: A laser-evoked potentials study. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 10*(8), 1030–1037. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsu156

Lane, R. D., & Nadel, L. (Eds.). (2020). Neuroscience of enduring change: Implication for psychotherapy. New York, NY: Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190881511.001.0001

Marlock, G., Weiss, H., Young, C., & Soth, M. (2015). *The handbook of body psychotherapy and somatic psychology*. North Atlantic Books.

Mittelmark, M. B., Sagy, S., Eriksson, M., Bauer, G. F., Pelikan, J. M., Lindström, B., & Espnes, G. A. (Eds.). (2017). *The handbook of salutogenesis*. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-04600-6

Nummenmaa, L., Glerean, E., Hari, R., & Hietanen, J. K. (2014). Bodily maps of emotions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111*(2), 646–651. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1321664111

Payne, P., Levine, P. A., & Crane-Godreau, M. A. (2015). Somatic experiencing: Using interoception and proprioception as core elements of trauma therapy. *Frontiers in Psychology, 6,* 93. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00093

Proffitt, L., Steinberg, E., Bach, S., Barker, L., Rosella, S., Deniflee, U., Southwell, C., Gad, G., van Heel, C., & Shahar, Y. (2016). Biodynamic body psychotherapy: Collective papers from the 2nd Biodynamic Conference London 2014. Lulu.com.

Stattman, J. (1987). Organic transference. *Revue de Psychologie Biodynamique [Biodynamic Psychology Revue], 2–3*, 179–198.

Steinberg, E. (2016). Transformative moments: Short stories from the Biodynamic Psychotherapy Room. *Somatic Psychotherapy Today, 6*(3), 26–34, 36-41, 99.

Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy for Neuropathology: The Effect on Combat Associated PTSD

Keren Doenyas-Barak
Sagol Center for Hyperbaric Medicine and Research, Be'er Ya'akov, Israel

Clinical studies present convincing evidence that hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) might be the coveted neurotherapeutic method for brain repair. HBOT is a treatment in which oxygen-enriched air (up to 100%) is administrated to patients in a chamber where the pressure is elevated above one atmosphere absolute (1ATA which is the ambient atmospheric pressure). It is becoming widely acknowledged that the combined action of hyperoxia and hyperbaric pressure leads to significant improvement in tissue oxygenation, targets oxygen and pressure-sensitive genes, improves mitochondrial metabolism and induces anti-apoptotic and anti-inflammatory effects. Special focus has been given recently to the effect of HBOT on neuropathology. The talk will reflect on the multifaceted role of HBOT in neurotherapeutics, in light of recent evidence for HBOT efficacy in conditions such as poststroke, traumatic brain injury (TBI), and fibromyalgia.

The second part of the talk will elucidate the rationale and accumulating evidence regarding HBOT's effect on posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Though PTSD has been described many years ago, no cure is available and about half of the individuals meet the criteria for PTSD even after different combinations of treatments. It is known today that the syndrome is characterized by long-term structural and functional brain changes. The severity of the brain changes correlates with the severity of the symptoms and its resistance to the current available treatments. The neuroplasticity induced by HBOT targets those brain changes and thus brings new therapeutic strategy in the arsenal used for the unfortunate who suffer from this chronic debilitating disorder.

The treatment course, the effect of clinical symptoms and structural and functional brain changes will be discussed, together with potential challenges and future plans.

PLENARY SESSION PRESENTATIONS

Neurorehabilitation Program Using Biophoto/Electromagnetic Stimulation Wearable

Victoria L. Ibric, Michele Owes, and Liviu G. Dragomirescu
NNRI, Inc., Pasadena, California, USA

Twenty-four clients, 10–86 years old, volunteered for this study. Participants previously diagnosed and treated by their own physicians or psychologists for a variety of conditions such as attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), learning disorders, fibromyalgia and other pain syndromes, sleep disorders, poststroke, postconcussion syndrome, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD), and memory dysfunctions. Before the study commenced, a battery of subjective tests (DSM-5, Amen ADD questionnaires) were completed and medical history collected. Prior to the beginning of the study, clients were evaluated cognitively with the Integrated Visual and Auditory (IVA-QS) continuous performance test. In most cases, parents, spouses, or close family members completed biweekly evaluations to monitor specific changes in the client's overall health condition and progress. After each session, participants completed a questionnaire. Neurostimulation sessions were offered three times per week, half an hour each session, for 20–40 consecutive sessions. The cognitive functions were reevaluated with the same IVA-QS battery, as used at the start of the study, after 20 and after 40 consecutive sessions of neurostimulation. Majority of the participants benefited from the neurostimulation program obtaining remarkable physical, emotional, and cognitive improvements. Objectively, the IVA-QS showed significant and continuous improvements. No negative side effects have been reported from this training.

Conclusion. The Neurodynamic Activator™, as a unique standalone brain trainer, was shown to be a useful device that benefited all the participants. The benefits obtained and reported at the end of the study continued to be sustained 18 months and longer, after the completion of the biophotostimulation. Other light or biophotostimulation methods will be compared and discussed. We had to adapt to this special isolated life during the pandemic time that we experienced for a year. The importance of integrating the biophotostimulation devices in brain training without any negative consequences will be a part of our discussion.

References

Ibric, V. L., Dragomirescu, L. G., & Hudspeth, W. J. (2009). Real-time changes in connectivities during neurofeedback. *Journal of Neurotherapy, 13*(3),156–165. https://doi.org/10.1080/10874200903118378

Ibric, V. L, & Owes, M. (2015, November). *Neuro-rehabilitation effectiveness: Study of the Neurodynamic-Activator™ as a standalone device*. Course presented at the 41st BSC (WABN–Western Association for Biofeedback and Neuroscience) Annual Conference, Costa Mesa, CA.

Othmer, S. (2009). Neuromodulation technologies: An attempt at classification. In T. H. Budzynski, H. K. Budzynski, J. R. Evans, & A. Abarbanel (Eds.), *Introduction to quantitative EEG and neurofeedback: Advanced theory and applications* (2nd ed., pp. 3–27). Elsevier.

Pilot Data on LORETA Neurofeedback for Improving Psychological and Neuroendocrine Status During Incarceration for Substance Abuse-related Offenders

Rex Cannon[1], Jeffry Leighton[1], Carol Mills[2], Bruce Baker[2], Marc Geroux[2], Lisa Reed[2], Marnie Sherretz[2], Richard Webber[2], Michael Slaughter[2], Kevin Boluyt[2], Jonathan Borgman[2], and Lynn Martin[2]
[1]SPESA Research Institute, Knoxville, Tennessee, and Lansing, Michigan, USA
[2]Newaygo County Mental Health, White Cloud, Michigan, USA

***Introduction*.** The data presented in this study are early results from a larger study investigating the effects of LORETA neurofeedback at precuneus for improving psychological status in inmates in the Michigan Newaygo County Jail for substance abuse-related offenses (Cannon et al., 2009, 2014). It has been proposed that one in five incarcerations are drug-related offenses. Notably the rate of recidivism for drug and alcohol offenders is 25% within 3 years of release, and it is estimated that one half of all incarcerated individuals would meet criteria for a substance use disorder (Chandler et al., 2009).

Methods. This early data consists of nine participants (four female) with mean age 34.88, *SD* = 10.98. Participants completed initial screening and informed consent prior to inclusion. Participants were administered the personality assessment inventory (PAI) and self-perception/experiential schemata assessments pretraining and completed 5-min eyes-closed (ECB) and eyes-opened (EOB) baselines with EEG education and training prior to beginning the LNFB protocol. Sessions were conducted five times per week across 20 consecutive weekdays. Each session consisted of six 5-min training rounds and required approximately 50 minutes to complete. The PAI was administered at session 19 for pre–post comparison. A repeated measures ANOVA was conducted for PAI scores, and paired contrasts were conducted on EEG spectral data and LORETA current sources.

Results. There were significant overall effects for reductions in nearly all scales on the PAI with the repeated measures ANOVA with Greenhouse-Geiser correction with $F(1.30) = 60.99$, $p < .000$, with partial eta squared = .67. The mean difference between scores was 5.16, $SE = .665$, $p = .000$. The LORETA contrasts showed several significant differences between pre and post EOB in delta $t(8) = 5.48$, $p = .005$; theta $t(8) = 3.41$, $p = .009$; alpha 1 $t(8) = 2.20$, $p = .054$; alpha 2 $t(8) = 1.22$, $p = .257$; beta 1 $t(8) = 3.44$, p = .008; beta 2 t (8) = 2.74, p = .025; and beta 3 $t(8) = 3.00$, $p = .017$. Brain areas showing significant differences included Brodmann Areas 20, 21, 22, 32, and 36.

Discussion. The current pilot data is from a larger study implementing LORETA neurofeedback training program in the local jail at Newaygo County, Michigan, to facilitate greater adaptability to nonusing patterns of behavior and internal dialogue, reduction of psychological distress, and normalization of neuroendocrine measures (cortisol) to ultimately lessen recidivism rates and improve the likelihood of continuing services upon release from the jail.

There have been research indicating treatment models during incarceration have offered some level of efficacy (Peters et al., 2017) with initial treatment and longer-term monitoring. These type of studies and active interventions in county jails may aid in the reduction of recidivism as well as decrease the rates of overdose-related deaths shortly after release (Becker et al., 2020; Davis et al., 2020; Kim & Yang, 2020; Oluwoye et al., 2020; Rushovich et al., 2020).

References

Becker, W. C., Gordon, K. S., Edelman, E. J., Goulet, J. L., Kerns, R. D., Marshall, B. D. L., Fiellin, D. A., Justice, A. C., & Tate, J. P. (2020). Are we missing opioid-related deaths among people with HIV? *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 212*, 108003. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.108003

Cannon, R. L., Baldwin, D. R., Diloreto, D. J., Phillips, S. T., Shaw, T. L., & Levy, J. J. (2014). LORETA neurofeedback in the Precuneus: Operant conditioning in basic mechanisms of self-regulation. *Clinical EEG and Neuroscience, 45*(4), 238–248. https://doi.org/10.1177/1550059413512796

Cannon, R., Congedo, M., Lubar, J., & Hutchens, T. (2009). Differentiating a network of executive attention: LORETA neurofeedback in anterior cingulate and dorsolateral prefrontal cortices. *International Journal of Neuroscience, 119*(3), 404–441. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207450802480325

Chandler, R. K., Fletcher, B. W., & Volkow, N. D. (2009). Treating drug abuse and addiction in the criminal justice system: Improving public health and safety. *JAMA, 301*(2), 183–190. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2008.976

Davis, G. G., Cadwallader, A. B., Fligner, C. L., Gilson, T. P., Hall, E. R., Harshbarger, K. E., Kronstrand, R., Mallak, C. T. McLemore, J. L., Middleberg, R. A., Middleton, O. L., Nelson, L. S., Rogalska, A., Tonsfeldt, E., Walterscheid, J. & Winecker, R. E. (2020). Position paper: Recommendations for the investigation, diagnosis, and certification of deaths related to opioid and other drugs. *The American Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology, 41*(3), 152–159. https://doi.org /10.1097/PAF.0000000000000550

Kim, H., & Yang, H. (2020, July). *Statistical analysis of county-level contributing factors to opioid-related overdose deaths in the United States*. Paper presented at Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, Montreal, QC, Canada. https://doi.org/10.1109 /EMBC44109.2020.9176465

Oluwoye, O., Kriegel, L. S., Alcover, K. C., Hirchak, K., & Amiri, S. (2020). Racial and ethnic differences in alcohol-, opioid-, and co-use-related deaths in Washington State from 2011 to 2017. *Addictive Behaviors Reports, 12*, 100316. https://doi.org /10.1016/j.abrep.2020.100316

Peters, R. H., Young, M. S., Rojas, E. C., & Gorey, C. M. (2017). Evidence-based treatment and supervision practices for co-occurring mental and substance use disorders in the criminal justice system. *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse, 43*(4), 475–488. https://doi.org/10.1080 /00952990.2017.1303838

Rushovich, T., Arwady, M. A., Salisbury-Afshar, E., Arunkumar, P., Aks, S., & Prachand, N. (2020). Opioid-related overdose deaths by race and neighborhood economic hardship in Chicago. *Journal of Ethnicity in Substance Abuse*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/15332640.2019.1704335

Psychoneuroendocrinology of Aging: Implications for Neuroregulation

Mauricio Gonzalez-Lapez

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico

Considering that life expectancy has increased over the last few years and that age is the main risk factor for the incidence of neurocognitive disorder (NCD), the characterization of the neurobiological substrates that constitute normal cognitive aging has become increasingly relevant, as well as the underpinnings of pathological cognitive aging. Aging is a multidimensional process, that results from the interaction of multiple factors along a person's lifespan. Hence, a better understanding of how these different factors interact with each other may lead to earlier detection and, thus, treatment of NCD. Since health is the result from the interaction from biopsychosocial mechanisms, the phenotyping of neuroendocrine profiles among the aging population might shed some light on the nature of cognitive aging (Epel et al., 2007).

As people age, the activity of several neuroendocrine axes changes, which is both a result of the aging process per se and the multiple life experiences of each particular individual. Studies have particularly focused on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and it has been long known that aging results in a downregulation of glucocorticoid receptors in the brain (Sapolsky et al., 1986; Mizoguchi et al., 2009). This leads to a diminished efficiency of the negative feedback regulation of the HPA axis (Otte et al., 2005) which, in turn, affects cognitive function (Elgh et al., 2006). Moreover, the age-related changes in HPA activity have also been related to dendritic atrophy and synaptic loss (Cerqueira et al., 2005) as well as a decrease in hippocampal volume (Huang et al., 2009).

The present work reviews the aforementioned evidence in terms of its utility for neuroregulation research. Since the assessment of the efficacy of certain type of neurotherapy is typically carried out by means of behavioral (clinical) outcomes, the inclusion of biomarkers could lead us to a better understanding of the mechanisms involved in the observed clinical change.

With these in mind we have characterized two populations of healthy older adults, which are defined with respect to their resting EEG. I will present the evidence that we have gathered so far in terms of how neuroendocrine activity modulates brain function (Villada et al., 2020) as well as the exploration using event-related potentials during cognitive tasks.

References

Cerqueira, J. J., Pêgo, J. M., Taipa, R., Bessa, J. M., Almeida, O. F. X., & Sousa, N. (2005). Morphological correlates of corticosteroid-induced changes in prefrontal cortex-dependent behaviors. *The Journal of Neuroscience, 25*(34), 7792–7800. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.1598-05.2005

Elgh, E., Åstot, A. L., Fagerlund, M., Eriksson, S., Olsson, T., & Näsman, B. (2006). Cognitive dysfunction, hippocampal atrophy and glucocorticoid feedback in Alzheimer's disease. *Biological Psychiatry, 59*(2), 155–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.06.017

Epel, E. S., Burke, H. M., & Wolkowitz, O. M. (2007). The psychoneuroendocrinology of aging: Anabolic and catabolic hormones. In C. M. Aldwin, C. L. Park, & A. Spiro III (Eds.), *Handbook of health psychology and aging* (pp. 119–141). The Guilford Press.

Huang, C.-W., Lui, C.-C., Chang, W.-N., Lu, C.-H., Wang, Y.-L., & Chang, C.-C. (2009). Elevated basal cortisol level predicts lower hippocampal volume and cognitive decline in Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience, 16*(10), 1283–1286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2008.12.026

Mizoguchi, K., Ikeda, R., Shoji, H., Tanaka, Y., Maruyama, W., & Tabira, T. (2009). Aging attenuates glucocorticoid negative feedback in rat brain. *Neuroscience, 159*(1), 259–270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2008.12.020

Otte, C., Hart, S., Neylan, T. C., Marmar, C. R., Yaffe, K., & Mohr, D. C. (2005). A meta-analysis of cortisol response to challenge in human aging: Importance of gender. *Psychoneuroendocrinology, 30*(1), 80–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2004.06.002

Sapolsky, R. M., Krey, L. C., & McEwen, B. S. (1986). The neuroendocrinology of stress and aging: The glucocorticoid cascade hypothesis. *Endocrine Reviews, 7*(3), 284–301. https://doi.org/10.1210/edrv-7-3-284

Villada, C., González-López, M., Aguilar-Zavala, H., & Fernández, T. (2020). Resting EEG, hair cortisol and cognitive performance in healthy older people with different perceived socioeconomic status. *Brain Sciences, 10*(9), 635. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10090635

Advances in Photobiomodulation Using a Closed-Loop Design

Penijean Gracefire

BrainStar Innovations, Tampa, Florida, USA

In the time since the initial designs for integrating pulsed near-infrared (pNIR) light with EEG biofeedback were developed, there have been advances in both EEG and pNIR technology. This presentation will evaluate how applying closed-loop principles to the communication paradigm between brain and light source have increased the potential for more nuanced clinical neuromodulation paradigms.

The basis for this talk will be the dynamics involved when directly integrating pulsed near-infrared light into neurofeedback designs which modulate the delivery of the pulsed NIR based on changes in selected EEG metrics. Used as an adjunctive intervention, photobiomodulation devices have historically been standalone methods, delivering pre-set pulses for selected amounts of time in a separate context from neurofeedback.

One example of this technology is the Vielight Neuro headset. The first instrument of its kind, the VieLight is a transcranial-intranasal near infrared light (NIR) photobiomodulation device, delivering pulsed NIR with light emitting diodes (LEDs) at a wavelength of 810 nm, which has been documented as the infrared wavelength with the highest skin penetration profile (Rojas & Gonzalez-Lima, 2013). Delivering the near-infrared light in pulses, instead of as a continuous exposure, addresses concerns regarding thermal effects on biological tissue (Ando et al., 2011).

Making the Vielight stimulation contingent on EEG behavior creates a framework in which the pulsed light becomes an explicit feedback element, an entirely novel application pairing its documented enhancement of BDNF and synaptogenesis (Hennessy & Hamblin, 2017) with unique patented live *Z*-score neurofeedback designs focusing heavily on supporting neural connectivity (Collura, 2008).

These feedback designs incorporate the Vielight device to deliver NIR at 810 nm, pulsed at rates determined by the clinical analysis of individual qEEG results of each subject within the context of current literature on photobiomodulation. The exposure to these pulses is directly modified by shifts in preselected EEG metrics, with paradigms based on changes in power and connectivity in monitored neurophysiological locations compared to a set of database norms.

Early findings in the literature indicate photobiomodulation has significant clinical potential in the treatment of a number of brain-based disorders, including, but not limited to, traumatic brain injury (Henderson, 2016), Alzheimer's and Parkinson's (Johnstone et al., 2015), improving executive function (Barrett & Gonzalez-Lima, 2013), memory, stroke, and developmental disorders (Hamblin, 2016), and depression (Cassano et al., 2015). A meta-analysis of articles examining the link between photobiomodulation and biological processes such as metabolism, inflammation, oxidative stress, and neurogenesis suggest these processes are potentially effective targets for photobiomodulation to treat depression and brain injury. It also suggests there is preliminary clinical evidence suggesting the efficacy of photobiomodulation in treating major depressive disorder, comorbid anxiety disorders, and suicidal ideation (Cassano et al., 2016).

Updated versions of the Vielight Neuro headset line offer more complex photobiomodulation design options, and this presentation will examine the clinical relevance of more advanced frequency-based and locational targeting. Collected data with pre and post qEEG analysis will be presented, and the practical significance of including photobiomodulation as an element of feedback within the neurofeedback paradigm itself will be discussed.

References

Ando, T., Xuan, W., Xu, T., Dai, T., Sharma, S. K., Kharkwal, G. B., Huang, Y.-Y., Wu, Q., Whalen, M. J., Sato, S., Obara, M., & Hamblin, M. R. (2011). Comparison of therapeutic effects between pulsed and continuous wave 810-nm wavelength laser irradiation for traumatic brain injury in mice. *PLoS ONE, 6*(10), e26212. http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026212

Barrett, D. W., & Gonzalez-Lima, F. (2013). Transcranial infrared laser stimulation produces beneficial cognitive and emotional effects in humans. *Neuroscience, 230*, 13–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.11.016

Cassano, P., Cusin, C., Mischoulon, D., Hamblin, M. R., De Taboada, L., Pisoni, A., Chang, T., Yeung, A., Ionescu, D. F., Petrie, S. R., Nierenberg, A. A., Fava, M., & Iosifescu D.V. (2015). Near-infrared transcranial radiation for major depressive disorder: Proof of concept study. *Psychiatry Journal, 2015*, 352979. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/352979

Cassano, P., Petrie, S. R., Hamblin, M. R., Henderson, T. A., & Iosifescu, D. V. (2016). Review of transcranial photobiomodulation for major depressive disorder: Targeting brain metabolism, inflammation, oxidative stress, and neurogenesis. *Neurophotonics, 3*(3), 031404. https://doi.org/10.1117/1.nph.3.3.031404

Collura, T. F. (2008). Towards a coherent view of brain connectivity. *Journal of Neurotherapy, 12*(2–3), 99–110. https://doi.org/10.1080/10874200802433274

Hamblin, M. R. (2016). Shining light on the head: Photobiomodulation for brain disorders. *BBA Clinical, 6*, 113–124. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbacli.2016.09.002

Henderson, T. A. (2016). Multi-watt near-infrared light therapy as a neuroregenerative treatment for traumatic brain injury. *Neural Regeneration Research, 11*(4), 563–565. https://doi.org/10.4103/1673-5374.180737

Hennessy, M., & Hamblin, M. R. (2017). Photobiomodulation and the brain: A new paradigm. *Journal of Optics, 19*(1), 013003. http://doi.org/10.1088/2040-8986/19/1/013003

Johnstone, D. M., Moro, C., Stone, J., Benabid, A. L., & Mitrofanis, J. (2015). Turning on lights to stop neurodegeneration: The potential of near infrared light therapy in Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease. *Frontiers in Neuroscience, 9*, 500. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2015.00500

Rojas, J. C., & Gonzalez-Lima, F. (2013). Neurological and psychological applications of transcranial lasers and LEDs. *Biochemical Pharmacology, 86*(4), 447–457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2013.06.012

Integrating Neurofeedback into Trauma Therapy: Insights from a Qualitative Study

Anney Lyons
Private Practice, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

Trauma has been found to have a significant impact on the brain. This is particularly true when trauma occurs during developmental years (Thomason & Marusak, 2017). Due to the increasing body of research demonstrating these impacts, neuroscience-informed approaches have been encouraged when working with trauma survivors in a mental health context. One approach that more directly addresses the functioning of the brain is neurofeedback. Some studies have found evidence for neurofeedback as an effective treatment for symptoms related to trauma (e.g., Frick et al., 2018; van der Kolk et al., 2016). As a result, some trauma therapists have decided to integrate neurofeedback into their practices. The process of integrating neurofeedback into trauma therapy presents several challenges, including learning to use the necessary technology, gaining an understanding of brain anatomy and functions, and introducing neurofeedback into the therapeutic relationship (Weiner, 2016).

Therapists who choose to add neurofeedback to their practice typically lack a background of extensive education in brain science and technology, and therefore there can be a steep learning curve (Hamlin, 2018; Weiner, 2016). In addition to requiring additional education, integrating neurofeedback into trauma therapy creates a shift in the therapeutic relationship. Fisher (2014), a psychotherapist specializing in trauma who integrated neurofeedback into her therapy practice, wrote about the process of introducing this modality into her work with clients. Other than Fisher's (2014) guidance on how to introduce clients to neurofeedback, there is minimal literature on the process of integrating neurofeedback into trauma therapy. Some other neurofeedback providers have written about the integration of neurofeedback into clinical practice (e.g., Hamlin, 2018; Weiner, 2016), but these do not address the specific challenges that come with treating trauma survivors.

This 60-min standing presentation focuses on results from a qualitative phenomenological study on trauma therapists' experiences with integrating neurofeedback into therapy for complex or developmental trauma. The study focused on practical and relational aspects of integration. This presentation aims to provide participants with background information on the use of neurofeedback in the treatment of trauma and provide insights into the process of integrating with a focus on relational aspects. At the time of this proposal the analysis process of the study is still in progress, but it will be completed by the end of May 2021. In order to gain a deeper understanding of participants' experiences, the proposed research design used interpretative phenomenological analysis (IPA; Smith, 1996). Data were collected using a demographic survey and semi-structured interviews, and analysis was conducted using IPA.

References

Fisher, S. (2014). *Neurofeedback in the treatment of developmental trauma: Calming the fear-driven brain*. New York, NY: W. W. Norton & Company.

Frick, M. H., Rainey, H. T., Curtis, R., Li, Y., & Simpson, M. (2018). Working with developmental trauma: Results of neurofeedback training with adolescent females and counseling implications. *Journal of Behavioral and Social Sciences, 5*(2), 96–106.

Hamlin, E. (2018). Growing the evidence base for neurofeedback in clinical practice. In J. J. Magnavita (Ed.), *Using technology in mental health practice* (pp. 101–122). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Smith, J. A. (1996). Beyond the divide between cognition and discourse: Using interpretative phenomenological analysis in health psychology. *Psychology & Health, 11*(2), 261–271. https://doi.org/10.1080/08870449608400256

Thomason, M. E., & Marusak, H. A. (2017). Toward understanding the impact of trauma on the early developing human brain. *Neuroscience, 342*, 55–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.02.022

van der Kolk, B. A., Hodgdon, H., Gapen, M., Musicaro, R., Suvak, M. K., Hamlin, E., & Spinazzola, J. (2016). A randomized controlled study of neurofeedback for chronic PTSD. *PLoS ONE, 11*(12), e0166752. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166752

Weiner, G. (2016). Evolving as a neurotherapist: Integrating psychotherapy and neurofeedback. In T. F. Collura & J. A. Frederick (Eds.), *Handbook of clinical QEEG and neurotherapy* (pp. 45–54). New York, NY: Routledge.

Demystifying Independent Component Analysis (ICA)

Kody Newman
Alpine Neurotherapy, Boulder, Colorado, USA

In an easy-to-digest manner for those who are less mathematically inclined, independent component analysis (ICA) is increasingly gaining popularity in the EEG-processing community, yet not many industry professionals truly understand what the analysis is doing "under the hood." This has led to a multitude of debates on whether or not ICA should be utilized for the EEG at all (Friston, 1998). This talk aims to provide concrete information about the theory and usage of ICA with respect to the EEG. To begin, this talk will go over the basic matrix equation that ICA algorithms attempt to solve: S = WX (where S is the matrix of independent components, W is the "Mixing matrix," and X is the raw EEG; Langlois et al, 2010). Then the talk will discuss the five key assumptions that provide the foundation of ICA and discuss whether the EEG is a suitable subject for independent component analysis under these assumptions (Debener et al., 2010). The five assumptions are as follows: (1) statistical independence between each source, (2) the mixing matrix must be square and full rank, (3) no external noise, (4) data must be centered, and (5) source signals must not be gaussian. The gaussian assumption is difficult to truly prove when it comes to an EEG, so we will discuss why that is and how ICA can still be implemented (Onton & Makeig, 2006). After understanding the general ICA assumptions, we will compare the unique underlying procedures and assumptions of the three most widely used ICA algorithms in the field: Infomax, fast-ICA, and AMICA (Palmer et al., 2011). These comparisons will be accompanied by ICA examples created in EEGLAB, ISync, and WinEEG. To conclude, the presentation will go over some important clinical considerations for those who want to implement ICA, such as the amount of data required for a good recording, how to maximize the accuracy of your results, and when ICA may fail.

References

Debener, S., Thorne, J., Schneider, T. R., & Viola, F. C. (2010). Using ICA for the analysis of multi-channel EEG data. In M. Ullsperger & S. Debener, *Simultaneous EEG and FMRI: Recording, Analysis, and Application* (pp. 121–133). Oxford University Press, USA. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195372731.003.0008

Delorme, A. (2018, May 22). EEGLAB preprocessing #1: Importing raw data. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gEk33jWB0MY

Friston, K. J. (1998). Modes or models: A critique on independent component analysis for fMRI. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2*(10), 373–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(98)01227-3

Hsu, S.-H., Pion-Tonachini, L., Palmer, J., Miyakoshi, M., Makeig, S., & Jung, T.-P. (2018). Modeling brain dynamic state changes with adaptive mixture independent component analysis. *NeuroImage, 183*, 47–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.08.001

Langlois, D., Chartier, S., & Gosselin, D. (2010). An introduction to independent component analysis: InfoMax and FastICA algorithms. *Tutorials in Quantitative Methods for Psychology, 6*. https://doi.org/10.20982/tqmp.06.1.p031

Onton, J., & Makeig, S. (2006). Information-based modeling of event-related brain dynamics. In C. Neuper & W. Klimesch (Eds.), *Progress in Brain Research* (Vol. 159, pp. 99–120). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(06)59007-7

Palmer, J. A., Kreutz-Delgado, K., & Makeig, S. (2011). AMICA: An adaptive mixture of independent component analyzers with shared components. 15.

Normal EEG

Joe Castellano
Alpine Neurotherapy, Boulder, Colorado, USA

In neurotherapy we often look for abnormalities in brain function that are related to our clients' struggles. Concurrently, there has been a trend in our field for a long time to rely on maps to make assessments; however, it is imperative as practitioners that we learn to look at the EEG to verify the features we see in the maps. It is common to refer to features like excess frontal theta, alpha asymmetry, or spindling beta at the vertex as features in clinical populations, but what defines normal EEG? Niedermeyer himself said "a chapter on normal EEG is more difficult to organize than it might seem." This talk will provide a synthesis from relevant sections from Niedermeyer's chapter on normal EEG in Adults and Elderly and connect the dots to other key texts in our field. This "back to basics" overview will help solidify the neurotherapist's foundation in assessing 19-channel EEG. A solid understanding of normal EEG is a crucial skill for a neurotherapist to develop their treatment plans, develop their protocols, and to make better decisions on when an EEG needs to be reviewed by a neurologist or referred out entirely. The presentation will start by discussing the common brainwaves and explore topics such as amplitude, functions, reactivity, morphology, generators, coherence, and Brodmann Areas, as they all relate to normal EEG. It will also provide examples of each in 19-channel EEG recordings. We will then discuss and identify normal variants within an EEG such as Mu, the sensorimotor rhythm (SMR), Lambda, K-complexes, positive occipital sharp transients (POSTS), vertex sharp waves, and sleep spindles. After an overview of the various frequencies that one might find in a normal EEG, the presentation will introduce vigilance modeling and briefly touch on its implications for neurotherapy. This final section will cover sleep architecture, with specific 19-channel EEG examples to represent each of the various stages of sleep.

This overview of commonly accepted facts and concepts is intended for anyone who needs to be able to identify key features in normal EEG: whether they are a new neurotherapist, someone preparing for their qEEG exam, or a more experienced professional in the field.

References

Chang, B., Schomer, D., & Niedermeyer, E. (2011). Normal EEG and sleep: Adults and elderly. In D. L. Schomer & F. H. L. da Silva, *Niedermeyer's electroencephalography: Basic principles, clinical applications, and related fields* (6th ed., pp. 183–214). Lippencott Williams & Wilkins.

Kropotov, J. (n.d.). Functional neuromarkers for psychiatry applications for diagnosis and treatment. Elsevier.

Kropotov, J. (2009). Quantitative EEG, event-related potentials and neurotherapy (1st ed.). Elsevier.

Libenson, M. (2010). Practical approach to electroencephalography (1st ed.). Saunders.

Niedermeyer, E. (1997). Alpha rhythms as physiological and abnormal phenomena. *International Journal of Psychophysiology, 26*(1–3), 31–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8760(97)00754-X

Thompson, M., & Thompson, L. (2015). *The neurofeedback book an introduction to basic concepts in applied psychophysiology*

(2nd ed.). Association for Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback. www.addcentre.com

Ulrich, G., & Frick, K. (1986). A new quantitative approach to the assessment of stages of vigilance as defined by spatiotemporal EEG patterning. *Perceptual and Motor Skills, 62*(2), 567–576. https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.1986.62.2.567

Nurturing Awareness: Neurofeedback and Psychedelic Therapies

Heather Hargraves
Divergence Neuro, Ontario, Canada

Neurophenomenological studies are increasingly exploring the brain mechanisms through which psychedelic substances exert their mind-altering effects. A provocative question is whether such knowledge might be harnessed as a means of self-inducing altered states of consciousness by nonpharmacological means; for example, through the combination of meditation practices and EEG neurofeedback. Ros et al., (2013) demonstrated that down-regulation of the amplitude of EEG alpha oscillations recorded from midline posterior cortex (Pz electrode) is possible in healthy volunteers via neurofeeedback, with correlated effects observed for fMRI in the precuneus as well as with the experience of mind-wandering. Recently, DMT literature related to cortical travelling waves further highlights the importance of the alpha decreases and increased signal diversity, also known as entropy as indicative of the psychedelic state (Alamia et al., 2020).

Emphasis will be placed on how neuro and biofeedback technologies can help highlight, assess and support the various states of awareness that underlie the positive outcomes associated with meditative practices and psychedelic therapies. By reframing neurofeedback modalities as tools to encourage "state awareness," there is great potential to combine neurofeedback with the emerging psychedelic renaissance. Psychedelics are "state" shifting medicines; therefore, the use of neurofeedback inspired therapies within the psychedelic framework can help both therapist and client better acquaint themselves with the human capacity to state shift. Meditation and psychedelic inspired neurofeedback modalities offer a direct experience of how attention alters states, which can offer support toward preparing individuals for the psychedelic experiences, by helping to reduce the preoccupation often associated with challenging psychedelic experiences, while simultaneously increasing a state experience of embodied allowing, associated with an increase in long-term therapeutic change. We will discuss how neurofeedback therapies are well poised to offer a method to support the integration of psychedelic sessions, as the afterglow state can sometimes become dimmed and lost if not rehearsed and therefore maintained via state therapy support.

References

Alamia, A., Timmermann, C., Nutt, D. J., VanRullen, R., & Carhart-Harris, R. L. (2020). DMT alters cortical travelling waves. *Elife, 9*, e59784. https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.59784

Hargraves, H. K. (2017). Therapeutic induction of altered states of consciousness: Investigation of 1–20 Hz neurofeedback. *Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository*, 4517. https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd/4517

Ros, T., Théberge, J., Frewen, P. A., Kluetsch, R., Densmore, M., Calhoun, V. D., & Lanius, R. A. (2013). Mind over chatter: Plastic up-regulation of the fMRI salience network directly after EEG neurofeedback. *NeuroImage, 65*, 324–335. https://doi.org /10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.09.046

Treating COVID-19 with Photobiomodulation – Short-term Recovery and Long-Haul NeuroRegulation

Lew Lim
Vielight Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Considerable global effort has been directed towards vaccination to control the spread of COVID-19. While there is significant success in these preventative efforts, there is still a need to consider options to improve the outcomes of those who have been infected. This presentation discusses photobiomodulation (PBM) as such an option.

PBM is increasingly used by neurofeedback practitioners as an adjunct to the established brain training practices. Hence it is worth examining its prospect for treating the largest health crisis in a century.

PBM is a modality involving the delivery of certain light to modulate the body and brain. Its mechanisms and related evidence are credible bases for this modality to help treat patients with COVID-19 and other viral infections.

Literature have shown that PBM is antiviral (Liu et al., 2003), anti-inflammatory (Hamblin, 2017), and accelerates the healing of lesions and sepsis (Costa et al., 2017), all are important factors in COVID-19 morbidity. These properties are supported by reports of rapid recovery in several severe hospitalization cases (Sohailifar et al., 2020). These are just a few cases, but the positive outcomes warrant randomized controlled trials (RCTs) for widely acceptable validation.

In this respect, we have been conducting a pivotal RCT involving 280 subjects to determine whether PBM can shorten the time to recovery in confirmed severe COVID-19. The device used allows the subjects treat themselves at home. At the time of writing, the RCT is yet to be completed but successful results upon completion will propose PBM as a viable treatment for COVID-19. Of further significance, it is an alternative for the population that seek a nonpharmaceutical treatment.

An interim analysis of the RCT at the 73 (out of 280) subject mark has concluded that the study is not futile with the recommendation that it should continue until completion.

Furthermore, at this time, the attention on the pandemic is shifting towards the long-term debilitating sequelae of chronic fatigue, depression, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) on the survivors, who are commonly known as "long haulers." Literature suggests that PBM has the underlying bases for neuroregulation to potentially address these.

This presentation will present the underlying mechanisms of PBM that can lead to an effective treatment for COVID-19 and other coronavirus infections, and how the thoughtful selection of parameters can enhance the efficacy. It will also present an analysis of available clinical evidence, if available at the time of the presentation. The potential of PBM to treat long haulers will also be discussed; particularly chronic fatigue syndrome, which is common in this cohort.

References

Costa, S., G., Barioni, E. D., Ignácio, A., Albuquerque, J., Câmara, N. O. S., Pavani, C., Vitoretti, L. B., Damazo, A. S., Farsky, S. H. P. & Lino-Dos-Santos-Franco, A. (2017). Beneficial effects of red light-emitting diode treatment in experimental model of acute lung injury induced by sepsis. *Scientific Reports, 7*(1), 12670. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13117-5

Hamblin, M. R. (2017). Mechanisms and applications of the anti-inflammatory effects of photobiomodulation. *AIMS Biophysics, 4*(3), 337–361. https://doi.org/10.3934/biophy.2017.3.337

Liu, T. C.-Y., Zeng, C.-C., Jiao, J.-L. & Liu, S.-H. (2003). The mechanism of low-intensity laser irradiation effects on virus. *Proceedings Volume 5254, Third International Conference on Photonics and Imaging in Biology and Medicine*. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.546134

Soheilifar, S., Fathi, H. & Naghdi, N. (2020). Photobiomodulation therapy as a high potential treatment modality for COVID-19. *Lasers in Medical Science, 36*, 935–938. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10103-020-03206-9

The State of NeuroMeditation: Historical Perspectives, Current Research, and Future Directions

Jeff Tarrant
NeuroMeditation Institute, Eugne, Oregon, USA

From the earliest days of neurofeedback, clinicians have been using this technology to enhance states of meditation and improve mental health. In general, these early approaches focused on increasing the amplitude of alpha/theta. These strategies were used to guide the meditator into deeper states of consciousness, enhance creativity, and reduce anxiety. Eventually, these protocols were advanced and expanded into alpha/theta protocols, which have been used successfully to treat addictions and PTSD.

In the past 20 years, researchers have dramatically increased our understanding of brainwave states in relation to both meditation and mental health concerns. This knowledge has been used to create new, more complex neuromeditation approaches for specific meditation styles including focus, mindfulness, and open heart practices. In addition, there is increasing evidence that each of these approaches has a different impact on specific mental health concerns which has led to a comprehensive approach to neuromeditation for mental health.

In this program, we will explore the history of combining neurofeedback with meditation, following this work to the most current applications. We will examine current research in the field, including recent studies demonstrating the feasibility of neurofeedback for meditation training. The first such study used real-time fMRI data to examine the subjective experience of meditators when a specific brain region was active versus quiet. Follow up studies have demonstrated that EEG neurofeedback can also be used to effectively indicate internal states related to meditation and that these signals can be controlled by both novice and experienced meditators to direct the meditation experience. More recent studies have demonstrated that even a brief training in neuromeditation can lead to improved cognitive functioning.

Beyond the most recent research in this area, this talk will provide a glimpse into the ways that neuromeditation is being used as a treatment intervention for ADHD, anxiety, depression, and PTSD.

We will conclude with an exploration of the future of neuromeditation, including applications for elevated states of consciousness, advances in software and hardware, and the combination of EEG NeuroMeditation with other technologies, such as AVE and vibroacoustics.

References

Brandmeyer, T. & Delorme, A. (2020). Closed-loop frontal midline θ neurofeedback: A novel approach for training focused-attention meditation. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 14*, 246. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2020.00246

Brandmeyer, T., & Delorme, A. (2013). Meditation and neurofeedback. *Frontiers in Psychology, 4*, 688. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00688

Brandmeyer, T., Delorme, A., & Wahbeh, H. (2019). The neuroscience of meditation: Classification, phenomenology, correlates, and mechanisms. *Progress in Brain Research, 244*, 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pbr.2018.10.020

Cahn, B. R., & Polich, J. (2006). Meditation states and traits: EEG, ERP, and neuroimaging studies. *Psychological Bulletin, 132*(2), 180–211. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.132.2.180

Fox, K., Dixon, M., Nijeboer, M., Girn, M., Floman, J. L., Lifshitz, M., Ellamil, M., Sedlmeier, P., & Cristoff, K. (2016). Functional neuroanatomy of meditation: A review and meta-analysis of 78 functional neuroimaging investigations. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 65*, 208–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.03.021

Tarrant, J. (2017a). *Meditation interventions to rewire the brain: Integrating neuroscience strategies for ADHD, anxiety, depression and PTSD*. Eau Claire, WI: PESI Publishing and Media.

Tarrant, J. (2017b). NeuroMeditation: An introduction and overview. In T. F. Collura & J. A. Frederick (Ed.), *Clinician's companion to QEEG and neurofeedback (annotated and with an introduction by J. Kiffer)*. New York, NY: Taylor & Francis.

Tarrant, J. (2020). Neuromeditation: The science and practice of combining neurofeedback and meditation for improved mental health. [Online First], IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.93781

Travis, F., & Shear, J. (2010). Focused attention, open monitoring and automatic self-transcending: Categories to organize meditations from Vedic, Buddhist and Chinese traditions. *Consciousness and Cognition, 19*(4), 1110–1118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2010.01.007

van Lutterveld, R., Houlihan, S. D., Pal, P., Sacchet, M. D., McFarlane-Blake, C., Patel, P. R., Sullivan, J. S., Ossadtchi, A., Druker, S., Bauer, C., & Brewer, J. A. (2016). Source-space EEG neurofeedback links subjective experience with brain activity duringeffortless awareness meditation. *NeuroImage, 151*, 117–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.02.047

QEEG and LORETA Monitoring of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Medication Resistant Depression

Rex Cannon, Morgan Keith[2], Kelly Ownby[2], Kris Houser[2], and Gena Bland[2]

[1]SPESA Research Institute, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA

[2]Depression Specialists of East Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee,, USA

Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) is an effective treatment for medication resistant depression (Boes et al., 2018; Trapp et al., 2020). Numerous studies have investigated the neurophysiological, neuroanatomical, and functional connectivity effects relative to rTMS (Bailey et al., 2019; Ge et al., 2020; Keuper et al., 2018; Noda et al., 2017; Song et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020). The typical target for rTMS is the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) with effects seen in cortical thickness in rostral anterior cingulate cortex (rACC) (Boes et al., 2018; Trapp et al., 2020). Data evaluating EEG sources with low-resolution electromagnetic tomography (LORETA) are scarce. Thus, the current study aims to evaluate changes in functional connectivity of EEG frequency bands, with special emphasis on theta power in the prefrontal cortices as a result of rTMS (Esposito et al., 2020). This explorative study consists of four males between the age of 21 and 76, mean 52, *SD* = 23.84. All had diagnosis of major depressive disorder (MDD) and met requirements for rTMS program using Neurostar (Malvern, PA). TMS sessions were carried out daily for 30 consecutive weekdays. All clients completed 20 or more sessions of LORETA neurofeedback concurrently with rTMS or immediately following rTMS sessions. In three of the clients, qEEG mapping was performed daily during the TMS sessions. Clients completed several assessments or screening instruments over the course of sessions of and the personality assessment inventory (PAI). Significant changes were seen in theta and alpha power between areas 24, 6, 10, and 33 in both theta and alpha current source density. Interestingly, one of the more significant differences across rTMS was a reduction in theta power shown both in topographical EEG measures and current source distributions. These theta excesses can in theory be thought of power distribution and energy consumption errors related to dysfunctional integrative loops that do not permit novel learning concerning the self and its positive and rewarding characteristics. Theoretical considerations will be discussed with emphasis on comorbid anxiety disorders and additive programmatic mechanisms to sustain rTMS/neurofeedback effects over time.

References

Bailey, N. W., Hoy, K. E., Rogasch, N. C., Thomson, R. H., McQueen, S., Elliot, D., Sullivan, C. M., Fulcher, B. D., Daskalakis, Z. J., & Fitzgerald, P. B. (2019). Differentiating responders and non-responders to rTMS treatment for depression after one week using resting EEG connectivity measures. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 242*, 68–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2018.08.058

Boes, A. D., Uitermarkt, B. D., Albazron, F. M., Lan, M. J., Liston, C., Pascual-Leone, A., Dubin, M. J., & Fox, M. D. (2018). Rostral anterior cingulate cortex is a structural correlate of repetitive TMS treatment response in depression. *Brain Stimulation, 11*(3), 575–581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2018.01.029

Esposito, R., Bortoletto, M., & Miniussi, C. (2020). Integrating TMS, EEG, and MRI as an approach for studying brain connectivity. *Neuroscientist, 26*(5–6), 471–486. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858420916452

Ge, R., Downar, J., Blumberger, D. M., Daskalakis, Z. J., & Vila-Rodriguez, F. (2020). Functional connectivity of the anterior cingulate cortex predicts treatment outcome for rTMS in treatment-resistant depression at 3-month follow-up. *Brain Stimulation, 13*(1), 206–214. https://doi.org/10.1016./j.brs.2019.10.012

Keuper, K., Terrighena, E. L., Chan, C. C. H., Junghoefer, M., & Lee, T. M. C. (2018). How the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex controls affective processing in absence of visual awareness - insights from a combined EEG-rTMS study. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 12*, 412. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2018.00412

Noda, Y., Zomorrodi, R., Saeki, T., Rajji, T. K., Blumberger, D. M., Daskalakis, Z. J., & Nakamura, M. (2017). Resting-state EEG gamma power and theta-gamma coupling enhancement following high-frequency left dorsolateral prefrontal rTMS in patients with depression. *Clinical Neurophysiology, 128*(3), 424–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2016.12.023

Song, P., Lin, H., Li, S., Wang, L., Liu, J., Li, N., & Wang, Y. (2019). Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) modulates time-varying electroencephalography (EEG) network in primary insomnia patients: a TMS-EEG study. *Sleep Medicine, 56*, 157–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2019.01.007

Trapp, N. T., Bruss, J., King Johnson, M., Uitermarkt, B. D., Garrett, L., Heinzerling, A., Wu, C., Koscik, T. R., Eyck, P. T., & Boes, A. D. (2020). Reliability of targeting methods in TMS for depression: Beam F3 vs. 5.5 cm. *Brain Stimulation, 13*(3), 578–581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2020.01.010

Wu, G.-R., Wang, X., & Baeken, C. (2020). Baseline functional connectivity may predict placebo responses to accelerated rTMS treatment in major depression. *Human Brain Mapping, 41*(3), 632–639. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.24828

Infraslow Neurofeedback Update

Mark Smith

Neurofeedback Therapy Services of New York, New York, New York, USA

At the beginning of its development, infraslow bipolar neurofeedback (ISF) was implemented as a one-channel intervention that required three electrodes. Over the last 15 years ISF has blossomed to include a 19-channel sLORETA version and garnered several publications including a recent randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled study published in the Journal Nature. This study, produced by Dr. Dirk De Ridder's neuromodulation lab at the University of Otago, has led to the study of ISF neurofeedack's application in chronic pain and affective disorders. Both studies have published preliminary articles related to their forthcoming results in our Journal, NeuroRegulation, in the last year. Palva and Palva (2012), referred to the infraslow frequencies as the "superstructure" of the brain interacting with and regulating both the integration within and decoupling between concurrently active neuronal networks. The burgeoning research combined with clinical outcomes has challenged our traditional understanding of the mechanisms of psychopathology. We have begun to recognize the large contribution of the autonomic nervous system (ANS) generally to psychopathology and the efficacy of directing infraslow neurofeedback at the cortical hubs of sympathetic and parasympathetic response. In a recent publication ISF bipolar training impacted measurements of autonomic response while SMR training did not (Balt et al., 2020). Balt's results are a confirmation of the association of infraslow frequencies with parasympathetic response first demonstrated by Aladjalova (1957). The application of infraslow sLORETA training to autonomic targets has proved clinically useful with addictions, pain, affective disorders, and tinnitus. In all of the preceding disorders, ISF sLORETA protocols target the dorsal anterior cingulate gyrus (dACC) or the posterior cingulate gyrus (PCC) singularly or within a behavioral network. The goal is to reduce sympathoexcitatory drive or increase parasympathetic response or vice versa, restoring autonomic regulation. Within this ANS centric rubric, the triple network theory plays a leading role. Effective self-regulation occurs through the identification of salient stimuli and the smooth recruitment of the appropriate behavioral network to process it. If the shift from one large behavioral network to another is not achieved within a well-regulated ANS and behavior is chronically driven by mismatched autonomic response, then psychopathology is the likely result.

References

Aladjalova, N. A. (1957). Infra-slow rhythmic oscillations of the steady potential of the cerebral cortex. *Nature, 179*(4567), 957–959. https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/179957a0

Balt, K., Preet, D. T., Smith, M. L., & Janse, C. (2020). The effect of infraslow frequency neurofeedback on autonomic nervous system function in adults with anxiety and related diseases. *NeuroRegulation, 7*(2), 64–74. https://doi.org/10.15540/nr.7.2.64

Leong, S. L., Vanneste, S., Lim, J., Smith, M., Manning, P., & De Ridder, D. (2018). A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled parallel trial of closed-loop infraslow brain training in food addiction. *Scientific Reports, 8*, 11659. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30181-7

Matthew, J., Adhia, D. B., Smith, M. L., De Ridder, D., & Mani, R. (2020). Protocol for a pilot randomized sham-controlled clinical trial evaluating the feasibility, safety, and acceptability of infraslow electroencephalography neurofeedback training on experimental and clinical pain outcomes in people with chronic painful knee osteoarthritis. *NeuroRegulation, 7*(1), 30–44. https://doi.org/10.15540/nr.7.1.30

Menon, B. (2019). Towards a new model of understanding – The triple network, psychopathology and the structure of the mind.

Medical Hypotheses, 133, 109385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2019.109385

Palva, J. M., & Palva, S. (2012). Infra-slow fluctuations in electrophysiological recordings, blood-oxygenation-level-dependent signals, and psychophysical time series. *NeuroImage, 62*(4), 2201–2211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.02.060

Perez, T. M., Glue, P., Adhia, D. B., Mathew, J., & De Ridder, D. (2021). Is there evidence for EEG-neurofeedback specificity in the treatment of internalizing disorders? A protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. *NeuroRegulation, 8*(1), 22–28. https://doi.org/10.15540/nr.8.1.22

COVID-19: Effects on Brain, Behavior, and QEEG Correlates

David Cantor[1], Leslie Sherlin[2], Susan Blank[3], Robert Turner[4], Ronald Swatzyna[5], Barbara Minton[6], Harry Kerasidis[7], Britt Parramore[8], Adrian Van Deusen[1], Giuseppe Chiarenza[8], and Tanju Surmeli[9]

[1]Mind and Motion Developmental Centers of Georgia, Johns Creek, Georgia, USA
[2]Private Practice, Phoenix, Arizona, USA
[3]Atlanta Healing Center, Duluth, Georgia, USA
[4]Network Neurology Health LLC, Charleston, South Carolina, USA
[5]Houston Neuroscience Brain Center & Clinical NeuroAnalytics, LLC, Houston, Texas, USA
[6]Private Practice, Boise, Idaho, USA
[7]Center for Neuroscience at Calvert Health, Prince Frederick, Maryland, USA
[8]Pathlight Treatment Services, Inc., Woodstock, Georgia, USA
[8]A. O. G. Salvini, Garbagnate Milanese, Milan, Italy
[9]Living Center for Research and Education, Istanbul, Turkey

As of December 2020, 69.1 million have been reported infected and 1.57 million deaths have resulted from COVID-19. Without question, the primary focus of the resulting pandemic has been to reduce the risk or death and the rapid contagion of the disease. Given that it has been recognized that this and other viruses do indeed enter the brain (Desfordes et al., 2020; Zubair et al., 2020), studies are now being turned to understand possible residual effects on behavior and brain function. There are several routes in which the virus can influence brain function resulting in delayed demyelinating processes (Zanin et al., 2020) and general neuroinflammatory processes effecting frontal lobes and brainstem structures (De Santis, 2020; Dubé et al., 2018; Gandhi et al., 2020). Initial structural neuroimaging studies have evidenced generalized encephalopathies and damage particularly to medial temporal regions (Kramer et al., 2020; Paterson et al., 2020). As might be expected, patients requiring more intensive care have more diverse anomalous findings in MRI studies (Kandemirli et al., 2020). Six months following discharge from hospitalization, studies are reporting that as many as over 30% of patients are experiencing decline in cognitive functioning and that over 20% of patients experience significant mood regulation problems (Huang et al., 2021). Functional neuroimaging studies have corroborated structural findings in noting dysfunction in the frontal regions (Cani et al., 2020). EEG studies have also noted frontal regions increased delta corresponding to these findings (Pasini et al., 2020). COVID-19 patients with resultant seizures, focal areas have been identified in the temporal, frontotemporal, and central-parietal regions (Narula et al., 2020). In an effort to develop a database of qEEG correlates resulting from COVID infection, the authors are contributing EEG data with qEEG and sLORETA analyses that can potentially provide a method differentiating residual effects that can be attributable to COVID-19. Preliminary cases studies comparing pre to post COVID-19 exposure evidence increased delta and theta absolute power in bilateral frontal poles, fronto-temporal regions and central-parietal regions and increased volumetric deviations in the frontal and temporal regions by sLORETA analyses with concomitant changes in aspects of cognition and mood regulation. Current vaccines being used to prevent more serious effects of the COVID-19 and potential variants can stimulate the immune system to trigger cytokine storms in some individuals and can trigger neuroinflammatory effects on the brain. Pre- and postvaccination non-COVID-positive subjects will be discussed. These early findings speak to the importance of using qEEG to provide biomarkers for individuals who may have cytokine storms by any of number of challenges to the immune system.

References

Cani, I., Barone, V., D'Angelo, R., Pisani, L., Allegri, V., Spinardi, L., Malpassi, P., Fasano, L., Rinaldi, R., Fanti, S., Cortelli, P., & Guarino, M. (2020). Frontal encephalopathy related to hyperinflammation in COVID-19. *Journal of Neurology. 268*(1), 16–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-020-10057-5

De Santis, G. (2020). SARS-CoV-2: A new virus but a familiar inflammation brain pattern. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity, 87*, 95–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.066

Desfordes, M., Le Coupanec, A., Dubeau, P., Bourgouin, A., Lajoie, L., Dubé, M., & Talbot, P. J. (2020). Human coronaviruses and other respiratory viruses: Underestimated opportunistic pathogens of the central nervous system? *Viruses, 12*(1), 14. https://doi.org/10.3390/v12010014

Dubé, M., Le Coupanec, A., Wong, A. H., M., Rini, J. M., Desforges, M., & Talbot, P. J. (2018). Axonal transport enables neuron-to-neuron propagation of human coronavirus OC43. *Journal of Virology, 92*(17), e00404-18.

Gandhi, S., Srivastava, A. K., Ray, U., & Tripathi, P. P. (2020). Is the collapse of the respiratory center in the brain responsible for respiratory breakdown in COVID-19 patients? *ACS Chemical Neuroscience. 11*(10), 1379–1381. https://doi.org/10.1021/acschemneuro.0c00217

Huang, C., Huang, L., Wang, Y., Li, X., Ren, L., Gu, X., Kang, L., Guo, L., Liu, M., Zhou, X., Luo, J., Huang, Z., Tu, S., Zhao, Y., Chen, L., Xu, D., Li, Y., Li, C., Peng, L., Li, Y. … Cao, B. (2021). 6-month consequences of COVID-19 in patients discharged from hospital: A cohort study. *Lancet, 397*(10270), 220–232. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)32656-8

Kandemirli, S. G., Dogan, L., Sarikaya, Z. T., Kara. S., Akinci, C., Kaya, D., Kaya, Y., Yildirim, D., Tuzuner, F., Yildirim, M., S., Ozluk, E., Gucyetmez, B., Karaarslan, E., Koyluoglu, I., Kaya, H. S., D., Mammadov, O., Ozdemir, I. K., Afsar, N., Yalcinkaya, B. C., Rasimoglu, S., … Kocer, N. (2020). Brain MRI findings in patients in the intensive care unit with COVID-19 infection. *Radiology, 297*(1), E232–E235. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020201697

Kramer, S., Lersy, F., de Sèze, J., Ferré, J.-C., Maamar, A., Carsin-Nicol, B., Collange, O., Bonneville, F., Adam, G., Martin-Blondel, G., Rafiq, M., Geeraerts, T., Delamarre, L., Grand, S., Krainik, A., Caillard, S., Constans, J. M., Metanbou, S., Heintz, A., Helms, … Cotton, F. (2020) Brain MRI findings in severe COVID-19: A retrospective observational study. *Radiology, 297*(2), E242–E251. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020202222

Narula, N., Joseph, R., Katyal, N., Daouk, A., Acharya, S., Avula, A., & Maroun, R. (2020). Seizure and COVID-19: Association and review of potential mechanism. *Neurology, Psychiatry and Brain Research, 38*, 49–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.npbr.2020.10.001

Pasini, E., Bisulli, F., Volpi, L., Minardi, I., Tappatá, M., Muccioli, L., Pensato, U., Riguzzi, P., Tinuper, P., & Michelucci, R. (2020). EEG findings in COVID-19 related encephalopathy. *Clinical Neurophysiology, 131*(9), 2265–2267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2020.07.003

Paterson, R. W., Brown, R. L., Benjamin, L., Nortley, R., Wiethoff, S., Bharucha, T., Jayaseelan, D. L., Kumar, G., Raftopoulos, R. E., Zambreanu, L., Vivekanandam, V., Khoo, A., Geraldes, R., Chinthapalli, K., Boyd, E., Tuzlali, H., Price, G., Christofi, G., Morrow, J., McNamara, P., … McLoughlin, B. (2020). The emerging spectrum of COVID-19 neurology: Clinical, radiological and laboratory findings. *Brain, 143*(10), 3104–3120. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa240

Zanin, L., Saraceno, G., Panciani, P. P., Renisi, G., Signorini, L., Migliorati, K., & Fontanella, M. M. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 can induce brain and spine demyelinating lesions. *Acta Neurochirurgica, 162*(7), 1491–1494. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-020-04374-x

Zubair, A. S., McAlpine, L. S., Gardin, T., Farhadian, S., Kuruvilla, D. E., & Spudich, S. (2020). Neuropathogenesis and neurologic manifestations of the coronaviruses in the age of coronavirus disease 2019: A review. *JAMA Neurology, 77*(8), 1018–1027. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.2065

Integrating Neurofeedback and Mindfulness Techniques in Sports Psychology for Enhancement of Athletic Performance

Lisa Beavers
Blue Lotus Counseling & Consulting Services, PLLC, Franklin, Tennessee, USA

For athletes competing in all levels of sport, focus and the ability to regulate emotions, cognitions, and physical responses are important skills to achieving personal goals, enhancing performance and overall success. Athletes and their coaches are incorporating the use of sports psychologists and counselors on a regular basis as a means of reducing mental barriers to performance as well as learning skills to intensify sports performance during competition. The development of a strong mindset and resilient attitude will give an athlete the edge during the moments of competition where they are physically pushed to their limit. The use of neurofeedback in training and in the therapeutic process is being researched as an effective means increasing efficiency in sports performance (Mirifar et al., 2017). The ability to incorporate new thinking patterns, in addition to increasing awareness of the mind–body connection, can help an athlete advance their training level at record paces. There is increasing awareness of the benefits and effectiveness of neurofeedback as a treatment option for athletes, however its use has not reached the full potential within the field (Strack et al., 2011). Combining neurofeedback with other techniques such as mindfulness can greatly increase performance of individual athletes by increasing neurocognitive processing and efficiency (Crivelli et al., 2019). The development of specific protocols which can strengthen mindset, assist in the reduction of ineffective cognitive patterns, and increase an athlete's composure during challenging training weeks as well as competition is part of a current opportunity in this area of study and practice. This workshop provides an overview of the current literature and discusses implications for additional research into the integration of the fields of neuroregulation and sports sciences. This workshop will also include discussion of how the research of neurofeedback and mindfulness can be evaluated and incorporated into active treatment plans for practical application for individuals as well as teams.

References

Crivelli, C., Fronda, G., & Balconi, M. (2019). Neurocognitive enhancement effects of combined mindfulness—Neurofeedback training in sport. *Neuroscience, 412*, 83–93. https://doi.org /10.1016/j.neuroscience.2019.05.066

Mirifar, A., Beckmann, J., & Ehrlenspiel, F. (2017). Neurofeedback as supplemental training for optimizing athletes' performance: A systematic review with implications for future research. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 75*, 419–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.02.005

Strack, B. W., Linden, M. K., & Wilson, V. S. (2011). *Biofeedback & neurofeedback applications in sport psychology*. Wheatridge, CO: Association for Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback.

Impact of Neurofeedback on Executive Functions of Children and Adults with Developmental Trauma: Results of Two Randomized Control Studies

Ainat Rogel and Diana Martinez
Boston NeuroDynamics, Brookline, Massachusetts, USA

This presentation focuses on results from two pioneers' random control studies that showed that 24 sessions of neurofeedback training (NFT) sessions improved executive functioning in adults and children with developmental trauma.

Developmental trauma (DT) is arguably one of the costliest public health challenges in the USA. DT is a chronic early childhood exposure to neglect and abuse by a caregiver. It has been shown to have a long-lasting, pervasive impact on mental, physical, and neural development, including problems with executive functioning, attention, impulse control, and self-regulation. These deficits not only interfere with adequate daily functioning but also interfere with the ability to benefit from treatments.

These two randomized control studies consisted of 49 adults and 37 children ages 6–13, with developmental trauma. The participants were randomly divided into two groups, active NFT (adults n = 26; children: n = 20) and waiting list (WL), adults n = 23; children n = 17). The NFT group received 24 NFT sessions twice a week (T4-P4). Executive functioning was assessed by BRIEF (Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function), a commonly used assessment of executive functions and self-regulation. It was conducted at four time points over the course of training: (1) baseline; (2) midpoint, after 12 NFT sessions for the NFT group or after 6 weeks for the WL group; (3) post-NFT for the NFT group or after 12 weeks for the WL group; (4) follow-up, 1 month post-NFT for the active NFT group or after 16 weeks for the WL group.

For the adults, NFT training showed significant improvement in all the subscales of BRIEF with the exception of emotional control (baseline to 1-month follow-up). Similarly, for the children, NFT training showed significant improvement in all the subscales of BRIEF with the exception of metacognition subscale on (baseline to post-NFT). However, for the children, there was a regression between post-NFT and 1-month follow-up assessments. These results indicate that NFT is a promising technique to improve executive functioning of individuals with developmental trauma who are struggling in their daily functioning and benefit from treatment.

References

Brewin, C. R., Kleiner, J. S., Vasterling, J. J., & Field A. P. (2007). Memory for emotionally neutral information in posttraumatic stress disorder: A meta-analytic investigation. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 116*(3), 448–463. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843x.116.3.448

Cook, A., Spinazzola, J., Ford, J. D., Lanktree, C., Blaustein, M., Cloitre, M., DeRosa, R., Hubbard, R., Kagan, R., Liautaud, J., Mallah, K., Olafson E., & van der Kolk, B. (2005). Complex trauma in children and adolescents. *Psychiatric Annals, 35*, 390–398.

Flaks, M. K., Malta, S. M., Almeida, P. P., Bueno O. F. A., Pupo, M. C., Andreoli, S. B., Mello, M. F., Lacerda A. L. T., Mari, J. J., & Bressan R. A. (2014). Attentional and executive functions are differentially affected by post-traumatic stress disorder and trauma. *Journal of Psychiatric Research, 48*(1), 32–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2013.10.009

Gapen, M., van der Kolk, B. A., Hamlin, E., Hirshberg, L., Suvak, M., & Spinazzola, J. A. (2016). Pilot study of neurofeedback for chronic PTSD. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback, 41*(3), 251–261. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10484-015-9326-5

Gioia, G. A., Isquith, P. K., Retzlaff, P. D., & Espy, K. A. (2002). Confirmatory factor analysis of the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) in a clinical sample. *Child Neuropsychology, 8*(4), 249–257. https://doi.org/10.1076/chin.8.4.249.13513

Henry, K. L., Fulco, C. J., & Merrick, M. T. (2018). The harmful effect of child maltreatment on economic outcomes in adulthood. *American Journal of Public Health, 108*(9), 1134–1141. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2018.304635

Mohlman, J., & Gorman, J. M. (2005). The role of executive functioning in CBT: A pilot study with anxious older adults. *Behaviour Research and Therapy, 43*(4), 447–465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2004.03.007

Rogel, A., Loomis, A. M., Hamlin, E., Hodgdon, H., Spinazzola, J., & van der Kolk B. (2020). The impact of neurofeedback training on children with developmental trauma: A randomized controlled study. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy, 12*(8), 918–929. https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0000648

van der Kolk, B. A., Hodgdon, H., Gapen, M., Musicaro, R., Suvak, M. K., Hamlin, E., & Spinazzola, J. (2016). A randomized controlled study of neurofeedback for chronic PTSD. *PLoS ONE, 11*(12), e0166752. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166752

Vasterling, J., & Verfaellie, M. (2009). Introduction—posttraumatic stress disorder: A neurocognitive perspective. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 15*, 826–829. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617709990683

Correlations Between Quantitative EEG Volumetric Analysis and Computerized Cognitive Testing Shortly After Sport Concussion Injury in High School Athletes, Part 2

Harry Kerasidis[1] and P. David Ims[2]

[1]Center for Neuroscience at Calvert Health, Prince Frederick, Maryland, USA

[2]Chesapeake Neurology Associates, Prince Frederick, Maryland, USA

We previously reported statistically significant correlations between performance on a computerized neurocognitive test battery and whole brain deregulation seen on sLORETA quantitative EEG analysis. With advances in software analysis capability, we are now able to report significant region-specific and task-specific correlations between the cognitive test performance and lateralized hemispheric regions of interest. We previously reported two correlational relationships: (1) negative correlations in which increasing deregulation on the EEG was associated with poorer performance on the cognitive tasks, and (2) positive correlations in which increasing deregulation on the EEG was associated with better performance on the cognitive tasks. The latter is interpreted as compensatory changes in brain physiology and was entirely confined to the alpha frequency band.

Methods. Standard electroencephalograms (EEGs) were recorded in 70 high school athletes (20 males) shortly after concussion injury using sLORETA imaging compared to a normative database (NYU/BrainDx). Peak *Z*-score variation (PZV), and % volume of grey matter activity that fell outside $Z = -2.5$ to 2.5 (PIGMV for increased activity, PRGMV for reduced) were calculated for each of five EEG frequency bands. The data for hemispheric and midline regions of interest were compared for correlations to computerized neurocognitive and symptom assessment (XLNTbrain) also performed shortly after concussion injury.

Results. (1) Task-specific or region-specific correlations were present with the more refined hemispheric regions of interest demonstrating lateralized functional activation depending on task. (2) Even when the stimuli of the cognitive test were controlled, there were different hemispheric regions of interest correlations based on changes of task demands. (3) Compensatory relationships were seen primarily in the alpha band, with a small proportion occurring in the neighboring bands of low beta and theta.

Conclusions. This study demonstrates more refined correlations between performance on computerized neurocognitive tasks and hemispheric regions of interest changes in quantitative sLORETA EEG analysis shortly after concussion injury in high school athletes. This new data analysis confirms the previously reported compensatory relationships between deregulated alpha activity and cognitive performance. These findings may have potential impact on protocol design for neurofeedback after concussion injury.

References

Barr, W. B., Prichep, L. S., Chabot, R., Powell, M. R., & McCrea, M., (2012). Measuring brain electrical activity to track recovery from sport-related concussion. *Brain Injury, 26*(1), 58–66. https://doi.org/10.3109/02699052.2011.608216

Kerasidis, H., & Ims, D. (2017). *sLORETA quantitative EEG analysis demonstrates persistent EEG changes beyond clinical recovery from sport concussion in high school athletes: A volumetric study*. Poster session presented at the 4th Annual American Academy of Neurology Sports Concussion Conference, Jacksonville, FL.

Kerasidis, H., Ims, D., & Rector, S. (2018). *Gender differences in quantitative volumetric analysis shortly after sport concussion in high school athletes*. Poster session presented at the 4th Annual American Academy of Neurology Sports Concussion Conference, Jacksonville, FL.

Pascual-Marqui, R. (1999). Review of methods for solving the EEG inverse problem. *International Journal of Bioelectromagnetism, 1*(1), 75–86.

Pascual-Marqui, R. (2002). Standardized low resolution brain electromagnetic tomography (sLORETA): Technical details. *Methods & Findings in Experimental & Clinical Pharmacology, 24*(Suppl. D:5–12).

Thompson, M., Thompson, L., & Reid-Chung, A. (2015). Treating postconcussion syndrome with LORETA *Z*-score neurofeedback and heart rate variability biofeedback: Neuroanatomical/Neurophysiological rationale, methods, and case examples. *Biofeedback, 43*(1), 15–26. https://doi.org/10.5298/1081-5937-43.1.07

Vitacco, D., Brandeis, D., Pascual-Marqui, R., & Martin, E. (2002). Correspondence of event-related potential tomography and functional magnetic resonance imaging during language processing. *Human Brain Mapping, 17*(1), 4–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.10038

Clinical Applications of 10-Channel qEEG Analysis: The Goldilocks Array

Penijean Gracefire
BrainStar Innovations, Temple Terr, Florida, USA

Clinicians who specialize in EEG feedback services can sometimes feel as if they have to choose between economy, efficacy, and convenience when it comes to selecting equipment and deciding on analytical software. QEEG analysis programs often require 19-channel EEG recordings, which can mean more expensive amplifiers to collect the necessary data and more expensive software to generate the quantitative analyses. Simpler EEG analysis procedures based on only one or two channels of EEG are often more economical, but some practitioners worry they may be missing important information that could make their neuromodulation program planning more effective (Collura, 2008).

In the last 15 years, advances in both EEG software and EEG hardware have offered the opportunity to compare and contrast the clinical efficacy of simpler analysis and feedback approaches with the more complex EEG feedback designs based on denser electrode arrays. The emergence of sLORETA-based feedback brought with it a new interest in amplifiers with a minimum of 19 active EEG channels, the number of surface sites required to reliably execute sLORETA source localization (Pascual-Marqui, 2002). These new possibilities generated discussion among clinicians attempting to determine whether more electrodes on the head meant more effective feedback, or if including too many data elements in a feedback paradigm resulted in training tasks which were too complex and tiring for the typical client.

This presentation will discuss the clinical utility of both the quantitative analytics derived from a static 10-channel electrode configuration and the implications for neuromodulation designs based on the intentionally selected 10–20 locations (Delorme & Makeig, 2004). The purpose of exploring the clinical relevance of a 10-channel array is to provide an option for practitioners which is more economical and convenient, while still capable of executing robust neurofeedback training. Not too big, not too small, but "just right": a Goldilocks solution.

During this session, qEEG reports based on 10-channel EEG collections will be presented, and protocol designs which capitalize on the neuroanatomy reflected in frontal, sensory motor and parietal regions will be introduced and discussed (Wolbers et al., 2007). The electrode configuration of F3, F3, C3, Cz, C4, T3, T4, P3, Pz, and P4 presents specific opportunities for executive function network support, sensorimotor integration, and increasing state flexibility in ways which improve complex attention and help regulate disorders of arousal and hypervigilance (Papousek & Schulter, 2002).

One of the strengths of multivariate percentage-based *z*-score feedback is the ability to build protocols based on explicit connectivity metrics and to select targeted frequency bands and locations to further customize the training program (Gracefire, 2016). In this presentation, we will examine how the Goldilocks configuration taps into the cortical circuits on which the brain relies to prioritize effective resource recruitment and allocation, and review case presentations with pre and post data from individuals who were trained with the Goldilocks array.

References

Burgess, N., Maguire, E. A., Spiers, H. J., & O'Keefe, J. (2001). A temporoparietal and prefrontal network for retrieving the spatial context of lifelike events. *NeuroImage, 14*(2), 439–453. https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2001.0806

Buzsáki G., & Draguhn A. (2004). Neuronal oscillations in cortical networks. *Science, 304*(5679), 1926–1929. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1099745

Cohen, M. X., Elger, C. E., & Ranganath, C. (2007). Reward expectation modulates feedback-related negativity and EEG spectra. *NeuroImage, 35*(2), 968–978. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.11.056

Collura, T. F. (2008). Towards a coherent view of brain connectivity. *Journal of Neurotherapy, 12*(2–3), 99–110. https://doi.org/10.1080/10874200802433274

Delorme, A., & Makeig, S. (2004). EEGLAB: An open source toolbox for analysis of single-trial EEG dynamics including independent component analysis. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 134*(1), 9–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2003.10.009

Fox, M. D., Snyder, A. Z., Vincent, J. L., Corbetta, M., Van Essen, D. C., & Raichle, M. E. (2005). The human brain is intrinsically organized into dynamic, anticorrelated functional networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 102*(27), 9673–9678. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0504136102

Gracefire, P. (2016). Introduction to the concepts and clinical applications of multivariate live *Z*-score training, PZOK and sLORETA feedback. In T. F. Collura, & J. A. Frederick (Eds.), *Handbook of clinical QEEG and neurotherapy* (pp. 326–383). New York, NY: Routledge.

Papousek, I., & Schulter, G. (2002). Covariations of EEG asymmetries and emotional states indicate that activity at frontopolar locations is particularly affected by state factors. *Psychophysiology, 39*(3), 350–360. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0048577201393083

Pascual-Marqui, R. (2002). Standardized low resolution brain electromagnetic tomography (sLORETA): Technical details. *Methods & Findings in Experimental & Clinical Pharmacology, 24*(Suppl. D), 5–12.

Wolbers, T., Wiener, J. M., Mallot, H. A., & Buchel, C. (2007). Differential recruitment of the hippocampus, medial prefrontal cortex, and the human motion complex during path integration in humans. *Journal of Neuroscience, 27*(35), 9408–9416. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2146-07.2007

A Possibility of qEEG-Centered Mental Healthcare Platform as a Mainstream Practice in Mental Health

Seung Wan Kang
iMediSync, Seoul, South Korea

Despite the fact that EEG is a clinically valuable signal for various brain-related disorders, EEG has been underutilized in mental health practices due to labor-intensive denoising process and the required expertise for the denoising, complex signal processing to get sensor or source level features, and lack of biomarker relevant for various clinical process. But, the recently developed iSyncBrain platform provides an efficient automated process targeted to various clinical circumstances, even for telemedicine. The platform on the cloud comprised of AI-guided EEG denoising process (Kang et al., 2018) trained through 1800 normative EEG data collected during last 7 years, sex classified healthy normative qEEG database, standardized qEEG feature extraction process from adaptive mixture ICA (AMICA) dipole source information to sLORETA-based ROI connectivity, normative library and group statistics for researchers (Kim et al., 2018; D. Lee et al., 2018; Min et al., 2020), and a series of qEEG discriminant biomarker has been implemented, such as early screening of Alzheimer dementia, prognosis of coma patients, and brain age for development disorder (Han et al., 2021; Shim & Shin, 2020; Thapa et al., 2020). Biomarkers for stroke rehabilitation, Parkinson's disease, and early screening and intervention prediction for depression are under development (Baik et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2018, 2020; Min et al., 2020). In this lecture, a typical development process of machine learning model will be introduced using aMCI biomarker, a cloud-based AI algorithm using 19-channel resting-state EEG for early detection of prodromal stage of Alzheimer's dementia. As an example, in the aMCI biomarker, the first qEEG discriminant functions on iSyncBrain platform, iSyncBrain iSB-M1 engine removes the noise signals and extracts various EEG features, which would be put into trained machine learning (ML) algorithms, then the probability score is calculated from 0 to 100. The scoring system consists of sequentially combined different ML algorithms, Alzheimer model, MCI model, and amyloidopathy model. The clinical test with 429 participants, which is divided to two groups, aMCI and normal, finally shows 93.2% of sensitivity and 90.2 specificity. Furthermore, possibilities of the methodology of the biomarker development could be a catalyst for utilizing qEEG in various clinical circumstances in the mental health area including neurology, psychiatry, and psychology will be announced (Maestú et al., 2019). QEEG experts in ISNR community can collaborate together to disseminate qEEG-guided mental health methodology from a modeling of various mental diseases to an educational support to new comer in this field.

References

Baik, K., Kim, S. M., Jung, J. H., Lee, Y. H., Chung, S. J., Yoo, H. S., Ye, B. S., Lee, P. H., Sohn, Y. H., Kang, S. W., & Kang, S. Y. (2021). Donepezil for mild cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease. *Scientific Reports, 11*(1), 4734. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84243-4

Han, S.-H., Pyun, J.-M., Yeo, S., Kang, D. W., Jeong, H. T., Kang, S. W., Kim, S., & Youn, Y. C. (2021). Differences between memory encoding and retrieval failure in mild cognitive impairment: Results from quantitative electroencephalography and magnetic resonance volumetry. *Alzheimer's Research & Therapy, 13*, 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-020-00739-7

Kang, G., Jin, S.-H., Keun Kim, D., & Kang, S. W. (2018). T59. EEG artifacts removal using machine learning algorithms and independent component analysis. *Clinical Neurophysiology, 129*(Suppl. 1), e24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2018.04.060

Kim, H. L., Kim, D.-K., Kang, S. W., & Park, Y. K. (2018). Association of nutrient intakes with cognitive function in Koreans aged 50 years and older. *Clinical Nutrition Research, 7*(3), 199–212. https://doi.org/10.7762/cnr.2018.7.3.199

Lee, D., Kang, D.-H., Ha, N.-H., Oh, C.-Y., Lee, U., & Kang, S. W. (2018). Effects of an online mind-body training program on the default mode network: An EEG functional connectivity study. *Scientific Reports, 8*, 16935. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34947-x

Lee, S. H., Ahn, H. S., Kim, Y. H., Lee, H. W., & Lee, J. H. (2020). Neurologic prognostication by qEEG in post cardiac arrest patients with therapeutic hypothermia. *Journal of the Korean Neurological Association, 38*(4), 260–271. https://doi.org/10.17340/jkna.2020.4.2

Maestú, F., Cuesta, P., Hasan, O., Fernandéz, A., Funke, M., & Schulz, P. E. (2019). The importance of the validation of M/EEG with current biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 13*, 17. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2019.00017

Min, K., Suh, M. R., Cho, K. H., Park, W., Kang, M. S., Jang, S. J., Kim, S. H., Rhie, S., Choi, J. I., Kim, H.-J., Cha, K. Y., & Kim, M. (2020). Potentiation of cord blood cell therapy with erythropoietin for children with CP: A 2 x 2 factorial randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Stem Cell Research & Therapy, 11*(1), 509. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-020-02020-y

Shim, Y. S., & Shin, H. E. (2020). Analysis of Neuropsychiatric symptoms in patients with Alzheimer's Disease using quantitative EEG and sLORETA. *Neurodegenerative Diseases, 20*(1), 12–19. https://doi.org/10.1159/000508130

Thapa, N., Park, H. J., Yang, J. G., Son, H., Jang, M., Lee, J., Kang, S. W., Park, K. W., & Park, H. (2020). The effect of a virtual reality-based intervention program on cognition in older adults with mild cognitive impairment: A randomized control trial. *Journal of Clinical Medicine, 9*(5), 1283. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9051283

Good Vibrations

Ronald Bonnstetter
Target Training International, Ltd., Scottsdale, Arizona, USA

This presentation is an EEG Spectral analysis of passive listening to Native American Flute pentatonic scales, plus a review of audioanalgesia healing literature. In the last 20 years, this area of science has exploded with research related to the effect of sound and music on brain functions (Trimble & Hesdorffer , 2017; Pantev et al., 1998; Peretz & Zatorre, 2005; Zatorre et al., 2007). Noninvasive procedures have allowed science to observe the areas of the brain which are activated by music and has shown that music activates more areas of the brain than nearly any other stimuli. At the same time, quantum physics has explored the theory that everything is made of vibrations. The theory suggests that solid matter is really an illusion and that everything vibrates or "resonates" at a particular frequency, including human cells (McTaggart, 2001).

Some consider music a gateway to the awakening of the spiritual because, again, frequency is an activator of the brain (Kunkkullaya, 2020). This is one of the reasons chanting and toning have traditionally been used in every major religion of the world to enhance prayerful, meditative, super-aware states of mind. When a person is in a relaxed state, beta-endorphins are produced, which promote healing. When this state is brought on by exposure to music, the result is called *audioanalgesia*. Music's healing effects are described as three-fold: emotional, spiritual, and physical (Cvetkovic & Cosic, 2011).

Music comprises pitch, timbre, vibrato, intensity, beat tracking, tempo or velocity, contour or intonation, rhythm variation or timing, and melody and harmonic features—all of which can drive and entrain neural, respiratory, and cardiac activity (Goss & Miller, 2014; Miller & Goss, 2014, 2015).

This presentation explores the interface of captured brain activity and the implied emotional/spiritual realm of "healing" as defined by the metaphysical literature. Metaphysical healing is not necessarily curing; it is an aspect of the spirit, while curing is an alteration of the body. One can experience healing without curing, but some research suggests that curing rarely occurs without prior healing. This presentation examines the results of participants passive listening to different octaves of solo Native American pentatonic flute music, including (a) a comparison between a "baseline" period of silent relaxation and brain response to flute music, (b) a comparison between the first half and the second half of the five-minute period of flute listening, and (c) a comparison between the periods of listening to lower-pitched flute compared to higher-pitched flute. The presentation will also provide live examples of shifts in brain wave patterns suggested for (a) preoperation calming protocols, (b) postoperation protocols to promote alertness, (c) pain relief relaxation progression, and (d) near death music recommendations.

References

Cvetkovic, D., & Cosic, I. (2011). *States of consciousness*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-18047-7

Goss, C., & Miller, E. B. (2014). *Your brain on flute*. Flutopedia. https://www.Flutopedia.com/ybof.htm, June 16, 2014.

Kunikullaya, K. U. (2020). EEG spectral changes with passive listening to Indian melodic scales. https://doi.org /10.17605/OSF.IO/37F6B

McTaggart, L. (2001). *The field: The quest for the secret force of the universe*. London, England: HarperCollins.

Miller, E. B., & Goss, C. F. (2014). An exploration of physiological responses to the Native American flute. *Interdisciplinary Society for Quantitative Research in Music and Medicine*, 95–143.

Miller, E. B., & Goss, C. F. (2015). Trends in physiological metrics during Native American flute playing. *Nordic Journal of Music Therapy, 24*(2), 176–178, https://doi.org/10.1080 /08098131.2014.908944

Pantev, C., Oostenvel, R., Engelien, A., Ross, B., Roberts, L. E. & Hoke, M. (1998). Increased auditory cortical representation in musicians. *Nature, 392*(6678), 811–814. https://doi.org/10.1038 /33918

Peretz, I., & Zatorre, R. J. (2005). Brain organization for music processing. *Annual Review of Psychology, 56*(1), 89–114. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.56.091103.070225

Trimble, M., & Hesdorffer, D. (2017). Music and the brain: The neuroscience of music and musical appreciation. *BJPsych International, 14*(2), 28–31. https://doi.org/10.1192 /s2056474000001720

Zatorre, R. J., Chen, J. L., & Penhune, V. B. (2007). When the brain plays music: auditory- motor interactions in music perception and production. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 8*(7), 547–558. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2152

Pilot Data Examining Induction of Suboxone and Monitoring with Quantitative EEG and LORETA methods

Rex Cannon[1], Jeffrey Leighton[1], Carol Mills[2], and Bruce Baker[2]

[1]SPESA Research Institute, Knoxville, Tennessee, and Lansing, Michigan, USA

[2]Newaygo County Mental Health, White Cloud, Michigan, USA

***Introduction*.** Quantitative electroencephalograph (qEEG) and low-resolution electromagnetic brain tomography (LORETA) methods are undervalued and underused for determining the effects of medications on the brain, especially concerning substance use disorders (Cannon et al., 2008; Sokhadze et al., 2008) and medication-assisted therapies (MAT). Debate continues about MAT with drugs such as suboxone despite positive reports of outcomes and overdose reductions (Chang & Raynor, 2021; Demetrovics et al., 2009; Elarabi et al., 2019; Finch et al., 2007; Furst, 2013; Towns et al., 2020; Velander, 2018). This study examines the electrocortical effects of suboxone during induction and 7 days of monitoring daily use.

***Methods*.** The current data from a larger study consists of three females with SUD with mean age 32.33, *SD* = 13.31 (range 21 – 47). Five-minute eyes-opened baseline EEG were collected prior to induction of suboxone using sublingual administration. The EEG was collected using the Truscan Acquisition System (Deymed Diagnostics) with 19 channels and linked ear reference. EEG were sampled at 256 cps. Data were recorded for 15 min during induction procedures and contrasted to the Lifespan normative database (Applied Neuroscience) and pre baseline. Daily EEG baselines were collected for 1 week and monitored for change.

***Results*.** Induction itself did not produce significant changes in the topographical EEG or LORETA current source distributions. In two of the clients, dose dependent elevations were seen in both measures. As the dose was adjusted so did the decrease in amplitude in EEG and CSD levels. Symptoms for these amplitude excesses include lethargy, somnolence, giddy and odd behaviors that resolved upon dose adjustment. The greatest increases occurred in theta and beta frequency domains with one individual showing increases in all frequency domains. Coherence and asymmetry measures were reduced in two of the three individuals. LORETA increases occurred in theta and beta involving Brodmann areas 13, 38, 42, 21, and 20.

***Discussion*.** The methods of qEEG and LORETA electrical neuroimaging may provide an important tool to aid in monitoring MAT for individuals with SUD. The opiate crisis has increased the need for holistic approaches with measurable outcomes to aid in the recovery for SUD, as well as decrease the likelihood of death. The data suggest that changes in the EEG and cortical volume related to suboxone use can be determined and monitored to aid in administering dose specificity. Further research and data are ongoing and with larger sample sizes generalizable EEG and LORETA CSD patterns may become more evident. EEG and LORETA have been shown as reliable across time (Cannon et al., 2012) and therefore can provide an important tool to further evaluate MAT changes and characteristic amplitude, connectivity and current source density patterns associated with substances of abuse.

References

Cannon, R. L., Baldwin, D. R., Shaw, T. L., Diloreto, D. J., Phillips, S. M., Scruggs, A. M., & Riehl, T. C. (2012). Reliability of quantitative EEG (qEEG) measures and LORETA current source density at 30 days. *Neuroscience Letters, 518*(1), 27–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2012.04.035

Cannon, R., Lubar, J., & Baldwin, D. (2008). Self-perception and experiential schemata in the addicted brain. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback, 33*(4), 223–238. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10484-008-9067-9

Chang, Y. P., & Raynor, T. (2021). Factors associated with relapse in individuals with opioid use disorder receiving suboxone in rural areas. *Journal of Addictions Nursing, 32*(1), 20–26. https://doi.org/10.1097/JAN.0000000000000381

Demetrovics, Z., Farkas, J., Csorba, J., Németh, A., Mervó, B., Szemelyácz, J., Fleischmann, E., Kassai-Farkas, A., Petke, Z., Oroján, T., Rózsa, S., Rigó, P., Funk, S., Kapitány, M., Kollár, A., & Rácz, J. (2009). Early experience with Suboxone maintenance therapy in Hungary. *Neuropsychopharmacologia Hungarica, 11*(4), 249–257.

Elarabi, H., Elrasheed, A., Ali, A., Shawky, M., Hasan, N., Gawad, T. A., Adem, A., & Marsden, J. (2019). Suboxone treatment and recovery trial (STAR-T): Study protocol for a randomised controlled trial of opioid medication assisted treatment with adjunctive medication management using therapeutic drug monitoring and contingency management. *Journal of Addiction, 2019*, 2491063. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2491063

Finch, J. W., Kamien, J. B., & Amass, L. (2007). Two-year experience with Buprenorphine-naloxone (Suboxone) for maintenance treatment of opioid dependence within a private practice setting. *Journal of Addiction Medicine, 1*(2), 104–110. https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.0b013e31809b5df2

Furst, R. T. (2013). Suboxone misuse along the opiate maintenance treatment pathway. *Journal of Addictive Diseases, 32*(1), 53–67. https://doi.org/10.1080/10550887.2012.759860

Sokhadze, T. M., Cannon, R. L., & Trudeau, D. L. (2008). EEG biofeedback as a treatment for substance use disorders: Review, rating of efficacy, and recommendations for further research. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback, 33*(1), 1-28. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10484-007-9047-5

Towns, C., Mee, H., & McBride, S. (2020). Opioid dependence with successful transition to suboxone (buprenorphine/naloxone) in a young woman with hereditary Coproporphyria. *The New Zealand Medical Journal, 133*(1518), 81–83.

Velander, J. R. (2018). Suboxone: Rationale, science, misconceptions. *The Ochsner Journal, 18*(1), 23–29.

The Use of ERP/EEG Guided tACS/tRNS Neurostimulation Methods in Clinical Practice

Nicholas Dogris
NeuroField, Inc., Santa Barbara, California, USA

Over the past 20 years the use of qEEG brain mapping has been used to develop neurofeedback treatment strategies. The typical acquisition of the EEG involves eyes open and closed recordings where the subject is not engaged in any activity. Sixty seconds of artifact free data is selected and quantified into specific frequency bands and displayed on surface head maps. The data is also analyzed in 3D LORETA solutions so as to determine the spatial location of the EEG source. The combination of these methods is typically used to generate neurofeedback protocols and has been shown to be effective in regulating the brain to a limited degree.

The evolution of computational neuroscience methods has added the use of machine learning methods that can generate independent components that have very high temporal resolution and source location capabilities that better identify actual neural activity. These methods have been applied to event related potential go/no-go paradigms that record EEG when the subject is engaged in an active task versus the standard idling tasks typically recorded. The data obtained in ERP testing can show reaction time, neurological latency and desynchronization or absence of cross frequency coupling across electrode sites. This data, combined with the typical eyes open and closed EEG is sensitive enough to reveal specific deregulations that are highly correlated to behavioral and neurological conditions. This advanced form of analysis can better guide the clinician in developing treatment plans for the use of neurotherapy techniques.

In this presentation Dr. Dogris will show how advanced computational neuroscience methods involving ERP and qEEG data was utilized to develop specific treatment plans. Dr. Dogris will also discuss his evolving hypothesis regarding the combined use of random noise and tACS neurostimulation methods. Dr. Dogris will show ERP and qEEG data that demonstrates the impact of random noise (pink and brown), tACS and pEMF neurostimulation techniques.

References

Antal, A., & Herrmann, C. S. (2016). Transcranial alternating current and random noise stimulation: Possible mechanisms. *Neural Plasticity, 2016*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3616807

Antonenko, D., Faxel, M., Grittner, U., Lavidor, M., & Flöel, A. (2016). Effects of transcranial alternating current stimulation on cognitive functions in healthy young and older adults. *Neural Plasticity, 2016*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/4274127

Bikson, M., Grossman, P., Thomas, C., Zannou, A. L., Jiang, J., Adnan, T., Mourdoukoutas, A. P., Kronberg, G., Truong, D., Boggio, P., Brunoni, A. R., Charvet, L., Fregni, F., Fritsch, B., Gillick, B., Hamilton, R. H., Hampstead, B. M., Jankord, R., Kirton, A., Knotkova, H., … Liebetanz, D. (2016). Safety of transcranial direct current stimulation: Evidence based update 2016. *Brain Stimulation, 9*(5), 641–661. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2016.06.004

Brunoni, A. R., Moffa, A. H., Fregni, F., Palm, U., Padberg, F., Blumberger, D. M., Daskalakis, Z. J., Bennabi, D., Haffen, E., Alonzo, A., & Loo, C. K. (2016). Transcranial direct current stimulation for acute major depressive episodes: Meta-analysis of individual patient data. *British Journal of Psychiatry, 208*(6), 522–531. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.115.164715

Camilleri, R., Pavan, A., Ghin, F., Battaglini, L., & Campana, G. (2014). Improvement of uncorrected visual acuity and contrast sensitivity with perceptual learning and transcranial random noise stimulation in individuals with mild myopia. *Frontiers in Psychology, 5*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01234

Chaieb, L., Antal, A., & Paulus, W. (2015). Transcranial random noise stimulation-induced plasticity is NMDA-receptor independent but sodium-channel blocker and benzodiazepines sensitive. *Frontiers in Neuroscience, 9*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2015.00125

Delorme, A., & Makeig, S. (2004). EEGLAB: An open source toolbox for analysis of single-trial EEG dynamics including independent component analysis. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 134*(1), 9–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2003.10.009

Kunze, T., Hunold, A., Haueisen, J., Jirsa, V., & Spiegler, A. (2016). Transcranial direct current stimulation changes resting state functional connectivity: A large-scale brain network modeling study. *NeuroImage, 140*, 174–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.02.015

Pion-Tonachini, L., Kreutz-Delgado, K., & Makeig, S. (2019). The ICLabel dataset of electroencephalographic (EEG) independent component (IC) features. *Data in Brief, 25*, 104101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.104101

Received: December 16, 2021
Accepted: December 16, 2021
Published: December 31, 2021