

Erratum to: Do Better in Math: How Your Body Posture May Change Stereotype Threat Response

Erik Peper^{1*}, Richard Harvey¹, Lauren Mason¹, and I-Mei Lin²

¹Institute for Holistic Health Studies/Department of Health Education, San Francisco State University, San Francisco, California, USA

²Department of Psychology, College of Humanities and Social Sciences, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

Erratum to: NeuroRegulation

DOI 10.15540/nr.5.2.67

Citation: Peper, E., Harvey, R., Mason, L., & Lin, I.-M. (2018). Erratum to: Do better in math: How your body posture may change stereotype threat response. *NeuroRegulation*, 5(3), 105. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15540/nr.5.3.105>

Copyright: © 2018. Peper et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY).

The original published version of this manuscript included numerical errors on page 70, in the Procedure subsection of the Method section.

The original text read:

To assume the collapsed position, they were asked to slouch and look down while slightly rounding the back. For the erect position, they were asked to sit upright with a slight arch in their back, while looking upward. After experiencing both postures, half of the students sat in the collapsed position while the other half sat in the upright position. While in this position, they were asked to rapidly subtract the number 7 from **843** sequentially for **15** s. A counterbalancing scheme was used where they were then asked to switch positions. Those who were collapsed switched to sitting erect, and those who were erect switched to sitting collapsed. They were then to rapidly subtract the number 7 from 843 sequentially for **15** s.

The initial starting number to subtract 7 from 843 was incorrect. The number was 964. In addition, the time for each condition was 30 s, not 15 s.

The corrected text reads:

To assume the collapsed position, they were asked to slouch and look down while slightly rounding the back. For the erect position, they were asked to sit upright with a slight arch in their back, while looking upward. After experiencing both postures, half of the students sat in the collapsed position while the other half sat in the upright position. While in this position, they were asked to rapidly subtract the number 7 from **964** sequentially for **30** s. A counterbalancing scheme was used where they were then asked to switch positions. Those who were collapsed switched to sitting erect, and those who were erect switched to sitting collapsed. They were then to rapidly subtract the number 7 from 843 sequentially for **30** s.

This numerical error and correction have no effect on the findings or conclusions. The authors regret any confusion that this error may have caused.

Published: September 29, 2018